R. GLENN

HUBBARD

ANTHONY PATRICK

O'BRIEN

Microeconomics

Seventh Edition

R. Glenn Hubbard
Columbia University

Anthony Patrick O'Brien
Lehigh University

New York, NY

Vice President, Business, Economics, and UK Courseware: Donna Battista
Director of Portfolio Management: Adrienne D'Ambrosio
Specialist Portfolio Manager: David Alexander
Development Editor: Lena Buonanno
Editorial Assistant: Nicole Nedwidek
Vice President, Product Marketing: Roxanne McCarley
Senior Product Marketer: Tricia Murphy
Product Marketing Assistant: Marianela Silvestri
Manager of Field Marketing, Business Publishing: Adam Goldstein
Senior Field Marketing Manager: Carlie Marvel
Vice President, Production and Digital Studio, Arts and Business: Etain O'Dea
Director of Production, Business: Jeff Holcomb
Managing Producer, Business: Alison Kalil
Content Producer: Christine Donovan
Operations Specialist: Carol Melville
Design Lead: Kathryn Foot
Manager, Learning Tools: Brian Surette
Content Developer, Learning Tools: Sarah Peterson
Managing Producer, Digital Studio and GLP, Media Production and Development: Ashley Santora
Managing Producer, Digital Studio: Diane Lombardo
Digital Studio Producer: Melissa Honig
Digital Studio Producer: Alana Coles
Digital Content Team Lead: Noel Lotz
Digital Content Project Lead: Courtney Kamauf
Project Manager: Heidi Allgair, Cenveo® Publisher Services
Interior Design: Cenveo® Publisher Services
Cover Design: Studio Montage
Cover Photos: Phant/Shutterstock; Mariyana M/Shutterstock
Printer/Binder: LSC Communications, Inc./Kendallville
Cover Printer: Phoenix Color/Hagerstown

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.

Names: Hubbard, R. Glenn, author. | O'Brien, Anthony Patrick, author.
Title: Microeconomics / R. Glenn Hubbard, Columbia University, Anthony Patrick O'Brien, Lehigh University.
Description: Seventh Edition. | New York : Pearson, [2018] | Revised edition of the authors's Microeconomics, [2017] | Includes index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2017050534| ISBN 9780134737508 | ISBN 0134737504
Subjects: LCSH: Microeconomics.
Classification: LCC HB172 .H83 2018 | DDC 338.5—dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017050534

ISBN 10: 0-13-473750-4
ISBN 13: 978-0-13-473750-8

For Constance, Raph, and Will
—R. Glenn Hubbard

For Cindy, Matthew, Andrew, and Daniel
—Anthony Patrick O'Brien

This page intentionally left blank

ABOUT THE **AUTHORS**

Glenn Hubbard, policymaker, professor, and researcher. R. Glenn Hubbard is the dean and Russell L. Carson Professor of Finance and Economics in the Graduate School of Business at Columbia University and professor of economics in Columbia's Faculty of Arts and Sciences. He is also a research associate of the National Bureau of Economic Research and a director of Automatic Data Processing, Black Rock Closed-End Funds, and MetLife. He received a PhD in economics from Harvard University in 1983. From 2001 to 2003, he served as chair of the White House Council of Economic Advisers and chair of the OECD Economic Policy Committee, and from 1991 to 1993, he was deputy assistant secretary of the U.S. Treasury Department. He currently serves as co-chair of the nonpartisan Committee on Capital Markets Regulation. Hubbard's fields of specialization are public economics, financial markets and institutions, corporate finance, macroeconomics, industrial organization, and public policy. He is the author of more than 100 articles in leading journals, including *American Economic Review*, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, *Journal of Finance*, *Journal of Financial Economics*, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, *Journal of Political Economy*, *Journal of Public Economics*, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *RAND Journal of Economics*, and *Review of Economics and Statistics*. His research has been supported by grants from the National Science Foundation, the National Bureau of Economic Research, and numerous private foundations.

Tony O'Brien, award-winning professor and researcher. Anthony Patrick O'Brien is a professor of economics at Lehigh University. He received a PhD from the University of California, Berkeley, in 1987. He has taught principles of economics for more than 20 years, in both large sections and small honors classes. He received the Lehigh University Award for Distinguished Teaching. He was formerly the director of the Diamond Center for Economic Education and was named a Dana Foundation Faculty Fellow and Lehigh Class of 1961 Professor of Economics. He has been a visiting professor at the University of California, Santa Barbara, and the Graduate School of Industrial Administration at Carnegie Mellon University. O'Brien's research has dealt with issues such as the evolution of the U.S. automobile industry, the sources of U.S. economic competitiveness, the development of U.S. trade policy, the causes of the Great Depression, and the causes of black–white income differences. His research has been published in leading journals, including *American Economic Review*, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, *Industrial Relations*, *Journal of Economic History*, and *Explorations in Economic History*. His research has been supported by grants from government agencies and private foundations.

BRIEF **CONTENTS**

CONTENTS

* These end-of-chapter resource materials repeat in all chapters. Select chapters also include Real-Time Data Exercises.
Students can complete all questions, problems, and exercises in MyLab Economics.

PART 2 Markets in Action: Policy and Applications

FLEXIBILITY **CHART**

The following chart helps you organize your syllabus based on your teaching preferences and objectives:

Core	Optional	Policy
Chapter 1: Economics: Foundations and Models	**Chapter 1 Appendix:** Using Graphs and Formulas	
Chapter 2: Trade-offs, Comparative Advantage, and the Market System		
Chapter 3: Where Prices Come From: The Interaction of Demand and Supply		
	Chapter 4 Appendix: Quantitative Demand and Supply Analysis	**Chapter 4:** Economic Efficiency, Government Price Setting, and Taxes
		Chapter 5: Externalities, Environmental Policy, and Public Goods
Chapter 6: Elasticity: The Responsiveness of Demand and Supply		
		Chapter 7: The Economics of Health Care
	Chapter 8: Firms, the Stock Market, and Corporate Governance	
	Chapter 8 Appendix: Tools to Analyze Firms' Financial Information	
Chapter 9: Comparative Advantage and the Gains from International Trade		

Core	Optional	Policy
	Chapter 10: Consumer Choice and Behavioral Economics	
	Chapter 10 Appendix: Using Indifference Curves and Budget Lines to Understand Consumer Behavior	
Chapter 11: Technology, Production, and Costs	**Chapter 11 Appendix:** Using Isoquants and Isocost Lines to Understand Production and Cost	
Chapter 12: Firms in Perfectly Competitive Markets		
Chapter 13: Monopolistic Competition: The Competitive Model in a More Realistic Setting		
Chapter 14: Oligopoly: Firms in Less Competitive Markets		
Chapter 15: Monopoly and Antitrust Policy		
	Chapter 16: Pricing Strategy	
Chapter 17: The Markets for Labor and Other Factors of Production		
		Chapter 18: Public Choice, Taxes, and the Distribution of Income

This page intentionally left blank

PREFACE

Our approach in this new edition remains what it was in the first edition, published nearly 15 years ago: to provide students and instructors an economics text that delivers complete economics coverage with many real-world business examples. Our goal has been to teach economics in a "widget-free" way by using real-world business and policy examples. We are gratified by the enthusiastic response from students and instructors who have used the first six editions of this book and who have made it a best-selling economics textbook.

Much has happened in the U.S. and world economies since we prepared the previous edition, including the election of a U.S. president with a distinctive approach to economic policy. We have incorporated many of these developments in the new real-world examples and policy discussions in this edition and also in the digital resources.

New to This Edition

We are grateful to the many instructors and students who made suggestions for improvements in the previous edition. We have done our best to incorporate as many of those suggestions as possible. Here is an overview of the revisions, followed by a more detailed description.

Overview of Changes

- All the chapter openers feature either new companies or have updated information. Students can visit MyLab Economics to watch a brief video that summarizes the key points of each chapter opener.
- Chapters 1–4, include new *An Inside Look* features to help students apply economic thinking to current events and policy debates as they are presented in news articles. Additional news articles and analyses appear weekly on MyLab Economics.
- There are 19 new *Apply the Concept* features (formerly titled *Making the Connection*) to help students tie economic concepts to current events and policy issues. The *Apply the Concept* features that were retained from the previous edition are updated. Students can visit MyLab Economics to watch more than 60 videos in which we summarize the key points in each feature. Related assessment accompanies each video, so students can test their understanding before moving on to a new section of the chapter.
- There are 5 new *Solved Problems* and 8 heavily revised *Solved Problems*. This feature helps students break down and answer economic problems step by step. There are additional Interactive *Solved Problems* on MyLab Economics, where students can receive feedback and tutorial help.
- There is a new category of end-of-chapter material titled *Critical Thinking Exercises*. We were motivated to add this new category of exercises because many instructors have told us that students need help building skills in the following areas: (1) analyzing and interpreting information; (2) applying reasoning and logic to new or unfamiliar ideas and situations; (3) examining ideas and concepts from multiple perspectives; and (4) clearly communicating their findings in a brief paper or class presentation. Students can complete these exercises on MyLab Economics and receive feedback and tutorial help.
- All the figures and tables are updated with the latest data available. Video animations of all the numbered figures and select tables are located on MyLab Economics. Graded practice exercises are included with these animations.

- We have replaced or updated many of the end-of-chapter *Problems and Applications*. In most chapters, one or two problems include graphs or tables for students to analyze. Select chapters have a category titled *Real-Time Data Exercises*, and we updated some of these exercises. Students can complete these exercises on MyLab Economics and receive feedback and tutorial help.

New Content and Features by Chapter

Here is a description of key changes by chapter.

Chapter 1, "Economics: Foundations and Models," opens with a new discussion of why Ford Motor Company manufactures cars in both the United States and Mexico. *An Inside Look* at the end of the chapter presents a news article and analysis of how likely it is that significant numbers of manufacturing jobs will return to the United States from overseas. New *Solved Problem 1.1* analyzes the marginal benefit and marginal cost of speed limits on highways. A new *Apply the Concept* examines why countries trade with each other and how economic concepts can help us evaluate policy debates about tariffs on imports. Taking a principles of economics class requires students to learn different terms, models, and a new way of analyzing real-world events. It can be challenging for students, especially non-majors, to appreciate how this course can help them in a career in business or government or in a nonprofit organization. We therefore decided to add to Chapter 1 a new section that describes economics as a career and highlights the key skills students of any major can gain from studying economics.

Chapter 2, "Trade-offs, Comparative Advantage, and the Market System," opens with an updated discussion of the resource allocation decisions managers at Tesla Motors face. *An Inside Look* at the end of the chapter discusses Tesla's decision to build a factory in Nevada to mass produce lithium-ion batteries for its electric cars. A new *Apply the Concept* illustrates how managers at the nonprofit organization Feeding America use the market mechanism to more efficiently allocate food based on the needs of food programs around the country.

Chapter 3, "Where Prices Come From: The Interaction of Demand and Supply," opens with a new discussion of how Coca-Cola and Pepsi-Cola responded to a fall in demand for sodas by introducing premium bottled water, sometimes called smart water. We use the market for premium bottled water to develop the demand and supply model. *An Inside Look* at the end of the chapter examines how McDonald's responded to shifts in consumer demand by serving breakfast all day and offering online ordering and home delivery. There are three new *Apply the Concepts*: "Virtual Reality Headsets: Will a Substitute Fail for a Lack of Complements?"; "Millennials Shake Up the Markets for Soda, Groceries, Big Macs, and Running Shoes"; and "Forecasting the Demand for Premium Bottled Water."

Chapter 4, "Economic Efficiency, Government Price Setting, and Taxes," opens with a new discussion about the economic link between food riots in Venezuela and the rise in popularity of Uber in the United States. At the end of the chapter, *An Inside Look* examines problems Uber has encountered in attempting to expand its services in the United Kingdom. There are two new *Apply the Concepts*: "The Consumer Surplus from Uber" and "Price Controls Lead to Economic Decline in Venezuela."

Chapter 5, "Externalities, Environmental Policy, and Public Goods," opens with a new discussion of ExxonMobil's support of a carbon tax. Two *Apply the Concepts* in the chapter now incorporate the latest information about government policies toward air pollution and global warming.

Chapter 6, "Elasticity: The Responsiveness of Demand and Supply," opens with a new discussion of how to evaluate the success of the soda tax enacted by several cities, including San Francisco and Philadelphia, in improving people's health and increasing tax revenue.

Chapter 7, "The Economics of Health Care," opens with a new discussion of how insurance companies are dealing with the effects of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010. There is also a discussion of the 2017 debate in Congress over whether that act should be extensively revised.

Chapter 8, "Firms, the Stock Market, and Corporate Governance," opens with a new comparison of the initial public offerings of Snap, Twitter, and Facebook. A new *Apply the Concept* explores why investors are concerned about potential corporate governance issues at Snap and other social media firms.

Chapter 9, "Comparative Advantage and the Gains from International Trade," opens with the decision by Mondelez to move production of Oreo cookies to Mexico to provide context for a new discussion of recent debates about the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP). A new *Apply the Concept* analyzes who gains and who loses from U.S. trade with China.

Chapter 10, "Consumer Choice and Behavioral Economics," opens with an updated discussion of the problems plaguing the JCPenney department store chain. A new *Apply the Concept* discusses why ticket scalpers have made a larger profit from the hit Broadway musical *Hamilton* than have the show's producers or stars. New *Solved Problem 10.3* analyzes why Tesla doesn't charge workers to park in the lot at its California factory even though the lot has a severe shortage of spaces.

Chapter 11, "Technology, Production, and Costs," opens with an updated discussion of the effects of massive open online courses (MOOCs) on the costs of higher education. A new *Apply the Concept* examines how software company Segment.com rearranged work areas to increase employee output.

Chapter 12, "Firms in Perfectly Competitive Markets," opens with an updated discussion of the difficulty farmers have making an economic profit selling cage-free eggs. A new *Solved Problem* analyzes why a wheat farmer decided to take 170 acres out of production and plant grass, and a new *Apply the Concept* discusses competition in the Asian restaurant market in New York City.

Chapter 13, "Monopolistic Competition: The Competitive Model in a More Realistic Setting," opens with a new discussion of Panera Bread's strategy of differentiating its restaurants by serving only "clean food." A new *Apply the Concept* continues the discussion of that company's strategy. Another new *Apply the Concept* discusses a new phenomenon in the restaurant industry: ghost restaurants that exist only online. New *Solved Problem 13.3* analyzes why Red Robin abandoned its experiment in fast-casual restaurants.

Chapter 14, "Oligopoly: Firms in Less Competitive Markets," opens with an updated discussion of competition in the music streaming business. A new *Apply the Concept* discusses how some bakeries have tried to use government regulations to eliminate competition from home bakers. A new *Solved Problem 14.2* uses game theory to analyze why Spotify and Apple Music offer student discounts.

Chapter 15, "Monopoly and Antitrust Policy," includes a new *Apply the Concept* discussing the reasons for the high prices of some generic drugs.

Chapter 16, "Pricing Strategy," opens with an updated discussion of how Disney uses big data to improve its theme park pricing. A new *Apply the Concept* discusses how firms ranging from airlines to zoos use big data and dynamic pricing to maximize profit.

Chapter 17, "The Markets for Labor and Other Factors of Production," opens with an updated discussion of whether Rio Tinto's extensive use of robots to mine ore in Australia is an indicator of future automation in other industries. Immigration has become a particularly contentious political issue, which led us to add the

new section "The Effect of Immigration on the U.S. Labor Market," including new Figure 17.6, which shows annual legal immigration into the United States as a percentage of the U.S. population.

Chapter 18, "Public Choice, Taxes, and the Distribution of Income," opens with a new discussion of proposals to dramatically change how the federal government taxes businesses. We have updated the chapter's discussion to highlight the key points in this debate.

To make room for the new content described earlier, we have cut approximately 17 *Apply the Concept*s and 4 *Solved Problem*s from the previous edition and transferred some of them to the book's *Instructor's Manual*, where they are available for instructors who wish to continue using them.

Solving Teaching and Learning Challenges

Many students who take a principles of economics course have difficulty seeing the relevance of the key concepts of opportunity cost, trade-offs, scarcity, and demand and supply to their lives and their careers. This reduces the willingness of some students to prepare for class and to be engaged during class. We address this challenge with contextual learning, a modern organization of content, and an extensive selection of digital assets available on MyLab Economics.

The Foundation:

Contextual Learning and Modern Organization

We believe a course is successful if students can apply what they have learned to both their personal lives and their careers, and if they have developed the analytical skills to understand what they read in the media. That's why we explain economic concepts by using many real-world business examples and applications in the chapter openers, graphs, *Apply the Concept* features, *An Inside Look* features, and end-of-chapter problems. This approach helps majors from all disciplines become educated consumers, voters, and citizens. In addition to our widget-free approach, we have a modern organization and place interesting policy topics early in the book to pique student interest. Here are a few highlights of our approach:

- **A strong set of introductory chapters.** The introductory chapters provide students with a solid foundation in the basics. We emphasize the key ideas of marginal analysis and economic efficiency. In Chapter 4, "Economic Efficiency, Government Price Setting, and Taxes," we use the concepts of consumer and producer surplus to measure the economic effects of price ceilings and price floors as they relate to the familiar examples of rental properties and the minimum wage. (We revisit consumer and producer surplus in Chapter 9, "Comparative Advantage and the Gains from International Trade," where we discuss outsourcing and analyze government policies that affect trade; in Chapter 15, "Monopoly and Antitrust Policy," where we examine the effect of market power on economic efficiency; and in Chapter 16, "Pricing Strategy," where we examine the effect of firm pricing policy on economic efficiency.) In Chapter 8, "Firms, the Stock Market, and Corporate Governance," we provide students with a basic understanding of how firms are organized, raise funds, and provide information to investors. We also illustrate how in a market system entrepreneurs meet consumer wants and efficiently organize production.
- **Early coverage of policy issues.** To expose students to policy issues early in the course, we discuss trade policy in Chapter 1, "Economics: Foundations and Models"; rent control and the minimum wage in Chapter 4, "Economic Efficiency, Government Price Setting, and Taxes"; air pollution, global warming, and public goods in Chapter 5, "Externalities, Environmental Policy, and Public Goods"; government policy toward

soda and other sweetened beverages in Chapter 6, "Elasticity: The Responsiveness of Demand and Supply"; and health care policy in Chapter 7, "The Economics of Health Care."

- **Complete coverage of monopolistic competition.** We devote a full chapter, Chapter 13, "Monopolistic Competition: The Competitive Model in a More Realistic Setting," to monopolistic competition prior to covering oligopoly and monopoly in Chapter 14, "Oligopoly: Firms in Less Competitive Markets," and Chapter 15, "Monopoly and Antitrust Policy." Although many instructors cover monopolistic competition very briefly or dispense with it entirely, we think it is an overlooked tool for reinforcing the basic message of how markets work in a context that is much more familiar to students than are the agricultural examples that dominate discussions of perfect competition. We use the monopolistic competition model to introduce the downward-sloping demand curve material usually introduced in a monopoly chapter. This approach helps students grasp the important point that nearly all firms—not just monopolies—face downward-sloping demand curves. Covering monopolistic competition directly after perfect competition also allows for early discussion of topics such as brand management and sources of competitive success. Nevertheless, we wrote the chapter so that instructors who prefer to cover monopoly (Chapter 15, "Monopoly and Antitrust Policy") directly after perfect competition (Chapter 12, "Firms in Perfectly Competitive Markets") can do so without loss of continuity.
- **Extensive, realistic game theory coverage.** In Chapter 14, "Oligopoly: Firms in Less Competitive Markets," we use game theory to analyze competition among oligopolists. Game theory helps students understand how companies with market power make strategic decisions in many competitive situations. We use familiar companies such as Apple, Amazon, Dell, Spotify, and Walmart in our game theory applications.
- **Unique coverage of pricing strategy.** In Chapter 16, "Pricing Strategy," we explore how firms use pricing strategies to increase profits. Students encounter pricing strategies everywhere—when they buy a movie ticket, book a flight for spring break, or research book prices online. We use these relevant, familiar examples to illustrate how companies use strategies such as price discrimination, cost-plus pricing, and two-part tariffs.

MyLab Economics

OVERVIEW

Reach every student by pairing this text with MyLab Economics

MyLab is the teaching and learning platform that empowers you to reach *every* student. By combining trusted author content with digital tools and a flexible platform, MyLab personalizes the learning experience and improves results for each student. Learn more about MyLab Economics at www.pearson.com/mylab/economics.

Deliver trusted content

You deserve teaching materials that meet your own high standards for your course. That's why we partner with highly respected authors to develop interactive content and course-specific resources that you can trust—and that keep your students engaged.

Empower each learner

Each student learns at a different pace. Personalized learning pinpoints the precise areas where each student needs practice, giving all students the support they need—when and where they need it—to be successful.

Teach your course your way

Your course is unique. So whether you'd like to build your own assignments, teach multiple sections, or set prerequisites, MyLab gives you the flexibility to easily create *your* course to fit *your* needs.

Improve student results

When you teach with MyLab, student performance improves. That's why instructors have chosen MyLab for over 15 years, touching the lives of over 50 million students.

FEATURES IN THE BOOK AND SUPPORTING RESOURCES ON MYLAB ECONOMICS

Students and instructors will find the following features in the seventh edition and supporting online resources on MyLab Economics.

Business Cases and An Inside Look *News Articles*

Each chapter-opening case provides a real-world context for learning, sparks students' interest in economics, and helps unify the chapter. The case describes an actual company facing a real situation. The company is integrated in the narrative, graphs, and pedagogical features of the chapter.

Students can visit MyLab Economics to watch a brief video we developed and filmed to summarize the key points of each chapter opener.

3 Where Prices Come From: The Interaction of Demand and Supply

How Smart Is Your Water?

What does a firm do when its primary product starts to fall out of fashion? The Coca-Cola Company and PepsiCo, Inc., have faced that question in recent years. Between 2004 and 2016, measured by volume, sales in the United States of carbonated beverages like Coke and Pepsi declined by more than 25 percent, while sales of bottled water increased by more than 50 percent. In 2016, sales of bottled water were greater than sales of carbonated beverages for the first time. This change resulted from a shift in consumer tastes as many people, particularly millennials, increased their demand for healthier beverages that don't contain sugar or artificial sweeteners.

In 1994, Pepsi responded to increased consumer demand for bottled water by introducing Aquafina water, and in 1999, Coke responded by introducing Dasani water. Neither company, though, had found selling bottled water to be as profitable as selling soda. As a result of decades of advertising, Coke and Pepsi are two of the most recognizable brand names in the world. The companies also have networks of bottling plants and commitments from supermarkets to provide them with extensive shelf space. Other companies have had trouble competing with Coke and Pepsi, which together account for nearly 75 percent of the market for carbonated beverages. The Aquafina and Dasani brands are not nearly as well known, however, so other companies have been better able to compete in the bottled water market, limiting Coke and Pepsi to less than 20 percent of that market.

By 2017, Coke and Pepsi were attempting to increase their profits in the bottled water market by introducing premium water or smart water brands. With regular bottled water, firms filter tap water or spring water to remove impurities. With premium water, like Pepsi's LIFEWTR and Coke's smartwater, firms also add ingredients, typically electrolytes. Although many nutritionists are skeptical that premium water is any better for you than regular bottled water, demand for premium bottled water has been increasing rapidly. Both Coke and Pepsi have been able to charge higher prices for their premium water brands than they do for their carbonated beverages. But the firms were facing determined competition from Nestlé's Perrier brand and Danone's Evian brand, among many others.

Although premium water was a hot product in 2017, there are no guarantees in a market system. Will Coke and Pepsi and their competitors be able to continue charging higher prices for premium water than for regular bottled water, or will competition force down prices and make selling premium bottled water no more profitable than selling regular bottled water? Although competition is not always good news for firms trying to sell products, it is great news for consumers because it increases the choice of available products and lowers the prices consumers pay for those products.

AN INSIDE LOOK on **page 98** discusses how McDonald's has responded to shifts in consumer demand by serving breakfast all day, allowing customers to order food online, and offering home delivery.

Sources: Jennifer Maloney, "Coca-Cola Needs to Be More Than Just Coke, Its Next Chief Says," *Wall Street Journal*, February 23, 2017; Jennifer Maloney, "PepsiCo Gives Its 'Premium' Water a Super Bowl Push," *Wall Street Journal*, January 24, 2017; and Feliz Solomon, "Coca-Cola and Pepsi Now Have Something Else in Common," fortune.com, December 7, 2016.

72

Andrew Hill/Getty/Getty Images

Chapter Outline & Learning Objectives

3.1 The Demand Side of the Market, page 74
List and describe the variables that influence demand.

3.2 The Supply Side of the Market, page 82
List and describe the variables that influence supply.

3.3 Market Equilibrium: Putting Demand and Supply Together, page 86
Use a graph to illustrate market equilibrium.

3.4 The Effect of Demand and Supply Shifts on Equilibrium, page 90
Use demand and supply graphs to predict changes in prices and quantities.

Economics in Your Life & Career

Can You Forecast the Future Demand for Premium Bottled Water?

Firms face many challenges in responding to changes in consumer demand. Firms selling premium bottled water need to forecast future demand in order to determine how much production capacity they will need. If you were a manager for Coca-Cola, PepsiCo, Nestlé, Bai, or another firm selling premium bottled water, what factors would you take into account in forecasting future demand? As you read this chapter, try to answer this question. You can check your answers against those we provide on **page 97** at the end of this chapter.

73

An Inside Look is a two-page feature that shows students how to apply the concepts from the chapter to the analysis of a news article. The feature appears at the end of Chapters 1–4. *An Inside Look* presents an excerpt from an article, analysis of the article, a graph(s), and critical thinking questions. Additional articles that are continuously updated are located on MyLab Economics.



AN INSIDE LOOK

McDonald's Looks for New Ways to Attract Customers

CNBC.COM

4 ways McDonald's is about to change

McDonald's has one major goal for 2017: win back customers.

The burger chain's multi-year turnaround effort, which found success with its All-Day Breakfast promotion, hasn't quite come to fruition…yet.

During its investor day in Chicago on Wednesday, the company's executives touted several big changes that the chain will be making to win back the more than 500 million visits it lost since 2012.

"To deliver sustained growth, we have to attract more customers, more often," CEO Steve Easterbrook said.

McDonald's focus will be on four pillars: menu innovation, store renovations, digital ordering and delivery.

"McDonald's appears to [have] found their focus on profitability through disciplined efforts to reduce costs and focus on the consumer experience including consumer-facing technology, improved convenience in payment and delivery and value to drive more customer visits throughout the day," Darren Tristano, president of Technomic, told CNBC.

"For the world's largest restaurant company, this means playing catch up with younger consumer expectations while continuing to engage older generations of consumers that grew up with McDonald's," Tristano said. "Creating alternatives for customization, delivery, payment and ordering processes provides challenges but they are necessary to adapt to the evolving consumer foodservice experience."

New Items on the Menu

Expect to see McDonald's "step up" its menu innovation in the U.S., said Chris Kempczinski, McDonald's USA President.

The company recently launched three different sizes of its classic Big Mac and will continue to add new items to its domestic stores. Including, a nationwide roll out of its "Signature Sandwiches"—customizable and more upscale burgers and chicken sandwiches—later this year.

Renovated Restaurants

Say goodbye to the white metal chairs and bold red and yellow colors. The chain's stores will also be getting an update.

McDonald's is committed to becoming a "modern and progressive burger company" and will be adding self-service ordering kiosks and table service to some of its stores. Employees will now spend more time in the front of the restaurant, delivering food directly to the tables and offering traditional dining hospitality.

McDonald's "experience of the future" is coming to about 650 restaurants this year, bringing the chain's number of these stores to nearly 2,500.

Digital Ordering

The Golden Arches will continue to expand its mobile order and pay platform. While late to the game, the company is expected to launch the product in 20,000 restaurants by the end of 2017.

Easterbrook noted back in November that McDonald's is focused on how customers order, what they order, how they pay and how they want to be served. Customers can pay with cash, credit, debit, Apple pay and Android pay and will soon be able to order through the company's mobile service.

Delivery

Delivery is also an avenue that McDonald's is exploring. The company, which has a large delivery presence in Asia—which accounts for 10 percent of system sales in that market—is hoping to capitalize on the growing industry demand by offering delivery in America. It is currently testing out several models, both in-house and via third-party providers.

The company said 75 percent of the population in its top five markets—America, France, the U.K., Germany and Canada—are within three miles of a McDonald's and 85 percent are within five miles of a chain.

Source: Sarah Whitten, "4 ways McDonald's is about to change," CNBC.com, March 1, 2017.

98

Key Points in the Article

McDonald's is in the highly competitive fast-food market. The firm has seen a decline in sales for five straight years. Searching for additional ways to increase its sales, McDonald's plans to focus on customer experience. The company recently introduced an all-day breakfast promotion, and in March 2017 announced it will begin to focus on new menu items, restaurant renovations, digital ordering, and delivery. With these changes, McDonald's hopes to win back younger consumers who have come to expect these services while at the same time continuing to appeal to its long-time customers.

Analyzing the News

In the 5-year period beginning with 2012, customer trips to McDonald's fell by more than 500 million. Chief Executive Officer Steve Easterbrook stated that attracting more visits per customer is needed for the company to sustain growth. The company has chosen to focus on four elements to achieve this growth: menu innovation, store renovations, digital ordering, and delivery. Each of these ideas for growth is designed to help increase demand for McDonald's menu items by increasing its customer base and the frequency of customer visits to its restaurants.

McDonald's has recently added new items to its menu, including more customizable and upscale burger and sandwich options. Adding self-service ordering kiosks and table service to its restaurants will make it faster and easier for customers to place orders as well as providing them with a more comfortable, traditional restaurant-like setting while waiting for their orders. If successful, these changes will increase consumers' willingness to buy McDonald's menu items at every price, shifting the demand curve for them to the right.

As consumers have reduced their demand for hamburgers at lunch and dinner, McDonald's has had success offering breakfast items, such as its popular Egg McMuffin, throughout the day. Competing firms, such as Burger King and Wendy's have followed this strategy as well. Suppose Figure 1 below illustrates the market for fast food breakfast sandwiches. The demand for breakfast sandwiches has increased, shifting the demand curve to the right from D_1 to D_2, resulting in an increase in both the equilibrium price (P_1 to P_2) and equilibrium quantity (Q_1 to Q_2). Figure 2 illustrates the market for hamburgers. The decline in demand is shown by the demand curve shifting to the left from D_1 to D_2, resulting in a decrease in both the equilibrium price (P_1 to P_2) and equilibrium quantity (Q_1 to Q_2). This result is a typical one when demand shifts between two goods that are substitutes.

McDonald's plans to continue the expansion of its mobile order-and-pay system, with the intention of launching the service in 20,000 restaurants by the end of 2017. The company is also exploring delivery options for the U.S. market, a strategy that has been successful for McDonald's in Asia. Expanding its mobile order and pay system would appeal to the younger generation of tech-savvy consumers who like to order and pay for products via smartphone apps. A delivery option would appeal to a wide variety of consumers who either do not have time or do not want to take the time to go to a McDonald's location to buy food. Both of these options will likely increase demand for McDonald's menu items.

Thinking Critically

1. Why is it particularly important for a firm like McDonald's to stay ahead of trends such as consumers' desire to eat breakfast food throughout the day or younger consumers wanting to order online?
2. Suppose that McDonald's and its competitors successfully implement self-service kiosks in their U.S restaurants, and this investment in technology allows the firms to reduce the number of employees at each location. How would this change affect the market for breakfast sandwiches? Draw a demand and supply graph to illustrate this situation, and explain what happens to equilibrium price and equilibrium quantity.

Figure 1: An increase in demand for breakfast sandwiches shifts the demand curve to the right, increasing both equilibrium price and equilibrium quantity.

Figure 2: A decrease in the demand for hamburgers, a substitute good for breakfast sandwiches, shifts the demand curve to the left, decreasing both equilibrium price and equilibrium quantity.

99

Solved Problems

Many students have great difficulty handling applied economics problems. We help students overcome this hurdle by including in each chapter two or three worked-out problems that analyze real-world economic issues they hear and read about in the news. Our goals are to keep students focused on the main ideas of each chapter and give them a model of how to solve an economic problem by breaking it down step by step. We tie additional exercises in the end-of-chapter *Problems and Applications* section to every *Solved Problem*. Additional *Solved Problems* appear in the *Instructor's Manuals*. In addition, the Test Banks include problems tied to the *Solved Problems* in the main book. Each of the 36 *Solved Problems* in the printed text is accompanied by a similar Interactive *Solved Problem* on MyLab Economics, so students can have more practice and build their problem-solving skills. These interactive tutorials help students learn to think like economists and apply basic problem-solving skills to homework, quizzes, and exams. Each *Solved Problem* on MyLab Economics and in the digital eText also includes at least one additional graded practice exercise for students.



Solved Problem 3.4 MyLab Economics Interactive Animation

Can We Predict Changes in the Price and Quantity of Organic Corn?

A news article discussed how U.S. consumers have been increasing their demand for organically grown corn and other produce, which is grown using only certain government-approved pesticides and fertilizers. At the same time, imports of corn and other varieties of organic produce from foreign countries have increased the available supply. Use demand and supply graphs to illustrate your answers to the following questions.

a. Can we use this information to be certain whether the equilibrium quantity of organically grown corn will increase or decrease? Illustrate your answer with a graph showing the market for organically grown corn.

b. Can we use this information to be certain whether the equilibrium price of organically grown corn will increase or decrease? Illustrate your answer with a graph showing the market for organically grown corn.

Solving the Problem

Step 1: Review the chapter material. This problem is about how shifts in demand and supply curves affect the equilibrium price, so you may want to review the section "The Effect of Shifts in Demand and Supply over Time," which begins on page 90.

Step 2: Answer part (a) using demand and supply analysis. The problem gives you the information that consumer tastes have changed, leading to an increase in the demand for organically grown corn. So, the demand curve has shifted to the right. The problem also gives you the information that imports of organically grown corn have increased. So, the supply curve has also shifted to the right. The following graph shows both of these shifts.

As Table 3.3 on page 92 summarizes, if the demand curve and the supply curve both shift to the right, the equilibrium quantity must increase. Therefore, we can answer part (a) by stating that we are certain that the equilibrium quantity of organically grown corn will increase.

Step 3: Answer part (b) using demand and supply analysis. The graph we drew in step 2 shows the equilibrium price of organically grown corn increasing. But given the information provided, the following graph would also be correct.

Unlike the graph in step 2, which shows the equilibrium price increasing, this graph shows the equilibrium price decreasing. The uncertainty about whether the equilibrium price will increase or decrease is consistent with what Table 3.3 indicates happens when the demand curve and the supply curve both shift to the right. Therefore, the answer to part (b) is that we cannot be certain whether the equilibrium price of organically grown corn will increase or decrease.

Extra Credit: During 2016, the equilibrium quantity of organically grown corn increased, while the equilibrium price decreased by 30 percent. We can conclude that both the increase in demand for organically grown corn and the increase in the supply contributed to the increase in consumption of organically grown corn. That the price of organically grown corn fell indicates that the increase in supply had a larger effect on equilibrium in the organically grown corn market than did the increase in demand.

Sources: Jacob Bunge, "Organic Food Sales Are Booming: Why Are American Farmers Crying Foul?" Wall Street Journal, February 21, 2017; and U.S. Department of Agriculture data.

Your Turn: For more practice, do related problems 4.7 and 4.8 on pages 104-105 at the end of this chapter. MyLab Economics Study Plan

Shifts in a Curve versus Movements along a Curve

When analyzing markets using demand and supply curves, remember that *when a shift in a demand or supply curve causes a change in equilibrium price, the change in price does not cause a further shift in demand or supply.* Suppose that an increase in supply causes the price of a good to fall, while everything else that affects the willingness of consumers to buy the good is constant. The result will be an increase in the quantity demanded but not an increase in demand. For demand to increase, the whole curve must shift. The point is the same for supply: If the price of the good falls but everything else that affects the willingness of sellers to supply the good is constant, the quantity supplied decreases, but the supply does not. For supply to decrease, the whole curve must shift. MyLab Economics Concept Check MyLab Economics Study Plan

Apply the Concept

Each chapter includes two to four *Apply the Concept* features that provide real-world reinforcement of key concepts and help students learn how to interpret what they read on the Web and in newspapers. Most of the over 60 *Apply the Concept* features use relevant, stimulating, and provocative news stories focused on businesses and policy issues. One-third of them are new to this edition, and most others have been updated. Several discuss health care and trade, which have been at the forefront of recent policy discussions. Each *Apply the Concept* has at least one supporting end-of-chapter problem to allow students to test their understanding of the topic discussed. We prepared and filmed a two- or three-minute video to explain the key point of each *Apply the Concept*. These videos are located on MyLab Economics. We include related assessment with each video, so students can test their understanding. The goal of these videos is to summarize key content and bring the applications to life. In our experience, many students benefit from this type of online learning and assessment.

Apply the Concept

MyLab Economics Video

Forecasting the Demand for Premium Bottled Water

Sara Stathas/Alamy Stock Photo

How will changes in demographics, income, and tastes shape the market for premium bottled water?

It's important for managers to forecast the demand for their products accurately because doing so helps them determine how much of a good to produce. Firms typically set manufacturing schedules at least a month ahead of time. Premium bottled water is a rapidly growing market, and firms need to carefully plan increases in productive capacity. Firms that fail to produce a large enough quantity to keep pace with increasing demand can lose out to competitors. But will the demand for premium bottled water continue to grow at such a rapid pace?

Richard Tedlow of the Harvard Business School has developed a theory of the "three phases of marketing" that can provide some insight into how the markets for many consumer products develop over time. The first phase often has a very large number of firms, each producing a relatively small volume of goods and charging high prices. This phase corresponds to the carbonated soft drink industry in the late nineteenth century, the automobile industry in the early twentieth century, and the personal computer industry in the late 1970s. In the second phase, the market consolidates, with one or a few brands attaining high market shares by selling a large number of units at lower prices. This phase corresponds to the soft drink industry during the middle of the twentieth century, the automobile industry during the 1920s, and the personal computer industry during the 1980s.

Managers at beverage firms will have to take into account a number of factors when estimating the future demand for premium bottled water. Factors that will tend to lead to higher demand for premium bottled water include the popularity of the product with millennials, the trend toward healthier eating habits that has led to declining consumption of carbonated beverages, the taxes on soda that cities have been imposing to both fight obesity and raise tax revenue, and the possibility of attracting consumers who now prefer energy drinks such as Red Bull and sports drinks such as Gatorade. But an obstacle to the rapid growth of demand for premium bottled water comes from doubts raised by some analysts about the benefits from the electrolytes and other ingredients it contains that are not in regular bottled water. If consumers come to believe that these ingredients serve no useful purpose, they may prefer to buy regular bottled water, which typically has a lower price.

As we saw in Chapter 1, economists can use formal models to forecast future values of economic variables. In this case, an economist forecasting the demand for premium bottled water would want to include the factors mentioned in the previous paragraphs as well as other data, including changes over time in demographics and projected income growth.

Sources: Jennifer Maloney, "PepsiCo Gives Its 'Premium' Water a Super Bowl Push," *Wall Street Journal*, January 24, 2017; Quentin Fottrell, "Bottled Water Overtakes Soda as America's No. 1 Drink—Why You Should Avoid Both," marketwatch.com, March 12, 2017; and Richard Tedlow, *New and Improved: The Story of Mass Marketing in America*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

Your Turn: Test your understanding by doing related problem 1.17 on page 102 at the end of this chapter.

Don't Let This Happen to You

We know from many years of teaching which concepts students find most difficult. We include in each chapter a box feature called *Don't Let This Happen to You* that alerts students to the most common pitfalls in that chapter's material. We follow up with a related question in the end-of-chapter *Problems and Applications* section. The questions are also available on MyLab Economics, where students can receive instant feedback and tutorial help.

Concept Checks

Each section of each learning objective concludes with a Concept Check on MyLab Economics that contains one or two multiple-choice, true/false, or fill-in questions. These checks act as "speed bumps" that encourage students to stop and check their understanding of fundamental terms and concepts before moving on to the next section. The goal of this digital resource is to help students assess their progress on a section-by-section basis so they can be better prepared for homework, quizzes, and exams.

Don't Let This Happen to You

Remember: A Change in a Good's Price Does *Not* Cause the Demand or Supply Curve to Shift

Suppose a student is asked to draw a demand and supply graph to illustrate how an increase in the price of oranges would affect the market for apples, with other variables being constant. He draws the graph on the left and explains it as follows: "Because apples and oranges are substitutes, an increase in the price of oranges will cause an initial shift to the right in the demand curve for apples, from D_1 to D_2. However, because this initial shift in the demand curve for apples results in a higher price for apples, P_2, consumers will find apples less desirable, and the demand curve will shift to the left, from D_2 to D_3, resulting in a final equilibrium price of P_3." Do you agree or disagree with the student's analysis?

You should disagree. The student has correctly understood that an increase in the price of oranges will cause the demand curve for apples to shift to the right. But, the second demand curve shift the student describes, from D_2 to D_3, will not take place. Changes in the price of a product do not result in shifts in the product's demand curve. Changes in the price of a product result only in movements along a demand curve.

The graph on the right shows the correct analysis. The increase in the price of oranges causes the demand curve for apples to increase from D_1 to D_2. At the original price, P_1, the increase in demand initially results in a shortage of apples equal to $Q_3 - Q_1$. But, as we have seen, a shortage causes the price to increase until the shortage is eliminated. In this case, the price will rise to P_2, where both the quantity demanded and the quantity supplied are equal to Q_2. Notice that the increase in price causes a decrease in the *quantity demanded*, from Q_3 to Q_2, but does *not* cause a decrease in demand.

MyLab Economics Study Plan

Your Turn: Test your understanding by doing related problems 4.13 and 4.14 on page 105 at the end of this chapter.



Graphs and Summary Tables

Graphs are an indispensable part of a principles of economics course but are a major stumbling block for many students. Every chapter except Chapter 1 includes end-of-chapter problems that require students to draw, read, and interpret graphs. Interactive graphing exercises appear on the book's supporting Web site. We use four devices to help students read and interpret graphs:

1. Detailed captions
2. Boxed notes
3. Color-coded curves
4. Summary tables with graphs (see pages 80 and 85 for examples)



MyLab Economics Animation

Figure 3.3

A Change in Demand versus a Change in Quantity Demanded

If the price of premium bottled water falls from $2.50 to $2.00, the result will be a movement along the demand curve from point *A* to point *B*—an increase in quantity demanded from 3 million bottles to 4 million. If consumers' incomes increase, or if another factor changes that makes consumers want more of the product at every price, the demand curve will shift to the right—an increase in demand. In this case, the increase in demand from D_1 to D_2 causes the quantity of premium bottled water demanded at a price of $2.50 to increase from 3 million bottles at point *A* to 5 million at point *C*.

Table 3.1

Variables That Shift Market Demand Curves

An increase in ...	shifts the demand curve ...	because ...
income (and the good is normal)		consumers spend more of their higher incomes on the good.
income (and the good is inferior)		consumers spend less of their higher incomes on the good.
the price of a substitute good		consumers buy less of the substitute good and more of this good.
the price of a complementary good		consumers buy less of the complementary good and less of this good.
taste for the good		consumers are willing to buy a larger quantity of the good at every price.
population		additional consumers result in a greater quantity demanded at every price.
the expected price of the good in the future		consumers buy more of the good today to avoid the higher price in the future.

Each of the 157 numbered figures in the text has a supporting animated version on MyLab Economics. The goal of this digital resource is to help students understand shifts in curves, movements along curves, and changes in equilibrium values. Having an animated version of a graph helps students who have difficulty interpreting the static version in the printed text. We include graded practice exercises with the animations. In our experience, many students benefit from this type of online learning.



Approximately 35 graphs are continuously updated online with the latest available data from FRED (Federal Reserve Economic Data), which is a comprehensive, up-to-date data set maintained by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Students can display a pop-up graph that shows new data. The goal of this digital feature is to help students understand how to work with data and understand how including new data affects graphs.

Review Questions and *Problems and Applications*—Grouped by Learning Objective to Improve Assessment

We group the main end-of-chapter material—*Summary*, *Review Questions*, and *Problems and Applications*—under learning objectives. The goals of this organization are to make it easier for instructors to assign problems based on learning objectives, both in the book and on MyLab Economics, and to help students efficiently review material that they find difficult. If students have difficulty with a particular learning objective, an instructor can easily identify which end-of-chapter questions and problems support that objective and assign them as homework or discuss them in class. Every exercise in a chapter's *Problems and Applications* section is available on MyLab Economics. Using MyLab Economics, students can complete these and many other exercises online, get tutorial help, and receive instant feedback and assistance on exercises they answer incorrectly. Also, student learning will be enhanced by having the summary material and problems grouped together by learning objective, which allows them to focus on the parts of the chapter they find most challenging. Each major section of the chapter, paired with a learning objective, has at least two review questions and three problems.

As in the previous editions, we include one or more end-of-chapter problems that test students' understanding of the content presented in the *Solved Problem*, *Apply the Concept*, and *Don't Let This Happen to You* special features in the chapter. Instructors can cover a feature in class and assign the corresponding problem(s) for homework. The Test Bank Files also include test questions that pertain to these special features.

Developing Career Skills

Learning key economic terms, concepts, and models are all important. For a course to be successful, students need to develop the skills and confidence to apply what they've learned outside the classroom. Chapter 1, "Economics: Foundations and Models," now includes a new section that describes economics as a career and the key skills students of any major can gain from studying economics. As described earlier, features such as chapter-opening business cases, *Apply the Concept*s, *Solved Problem*s, and end-of-chapter problems provide a real-world context for learning that exposes students to economics as applied in a variety of large and small businesses, government agencies, and nonprofit organizations. *Critical Thinking Exercises,* a new end-of-chapter category in this edition, help build student skills to analyze and interpret information and apply reasoning and logic to new or unfamiliar ideas and situations.

Economics in Your Life & Career

After the chapter-opening real-world business case, we have a feature titled *Economics in Your Life & Career* that adds a personal dimension to the chapter opener by asking students to consider how economics affects their lives and careers. The feature piques the interest of students and emphasizes the connection between the material they are learning and their personal and career decisions

Economics in Your Life & Career

Can You Forecast the Future Demand for Premium Bottled Water?

Firms face many challenges in responding to changes in consumer demand. Firms selling premium bottled water need to forecast future demand in order to determine how much production capacity they will need. If you were a manager for Coca-Cola, PepsiCo, Nestlé, Bai, or another firm selling premium bottled water, what factors would you take into account in forecasting future demand? As you read this chapter, try to answer this question. You can check your answers against those we provide on **page 97** at the end of this chapter.

At the end of the chapter, we use the chapter concepts to answer the questions asked at the beginning of the chapter.

Economics in Your Life & Career

Can You Forecast the Future Demand for Premium Bottled Water?

At the beginning of this chapter, we asked what variables you would take into account in forecasting future demand if you were a manager for a firm selling premium bottled water. In Section 3.1, we discussed the factors that affect the demand for a product and provided a list of the most important variables. In the *Apply the Concept* on page 81, we discussed how economists often use formal models to forecast future demand for a product.

In forecasting demand for premium bottled water, you should take into account factors such as changing demographics, as millennials become a larger fraction of prime-age consumers, and the likelihood that the demand for competing goods, such as carbonated sodas, will decline as consumers turn toward buying healthier products and as more cities impose soda taxes. You may also need to consider whether increased advertising of premium bottled water by large firms such as Coca-Cola and PepsiCo will raise consumer awareness of the product and increase demand for the premium bottled water being sold by other firms as well.

The factors discussed in this chapter provide you with the basic information needed to forecast demand for premium bottled water, although arriving at numerical forecasts requires using statistical analysis that you can learn in more advanced courses.

Instructor Teaching Resources

The authors and Pearson Education have worked together to integrate the text, print, and media resources to make teaching and learning easier.

Supplements Available to Instructors for Download at www.pearsonhighered.com	Features of the Supplement
Instructor's Manual Authored by Edward Scahill of the University of Scranton	• Chapter-by-chapter summaries organized by learning objectives • Extended examples and class exercises • Teaching outlines incorporating key terms and definitions, teaching tips, topics for class discussion • New *Solved Problems* • New *Apply the Concept* features • Solutions to all review questions, problems, and real-time data exercises in the book
Test Bank Authored by Randy Methenitis of Richland College	• 4,000 multiple-choice, true/false, short-answer, and graphing questions. • Test questions are annotated with the following categories: **Difficulty**—1 for straight recall; 2 for some analysis; and 3 for complex analysis **Type**—multiple-choice, true/false, short-answer, essay **Topic**—the term or concept the question supports **Learning outcome** **Page number** in the main book **Special feature** in the main book **The Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business** (AACSB) **Guidelines** (see description on the next page)
Computerized TestGen	• Allows instructors to customize, save, and generate classroom tests. • Instructors can edit, add, or delete questions from the Test Banks; analyze test results; and organize a database of tests and student results. • Many options are available for organizing and displaying tests, along with search and sort features. • The software and the Test Banks can be downloaded from www.pearsonhighered.com.
Three Sets of PowerPoint Lecture Presentations Authored by Paul Holmes of Ashland University	• A comprehensive set of PowerPoint slides can be used by instructors for class presentations or by students for lecture preview or review. These slides include all the graphs, tables, and equations in the textbook. Two versions are available—step-by-step mode, in which you can build graphs as you would on a blackboard, and automated mode, in which you use a single click per slide. • A comprehensive set of PowerPoint slides have Classroom Response Systems (CRS) questions built in so that instructors can incorporate CRS "clickers" into their classroom lectures. • Student versions of the PowerPoint slides are available as .pdf files. This version allows students to print the slides and bring them to class for note taking.

What Is the AACSB?

The Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) is a not-for-profit corporation of educational institutions, corporations, and other organizations devoted to the promotion and improvement of higher education in business administration and accounting. A collegiate institution offering degrees in business administration or accounting may volunteer for AACSB accreditation review. The AACSB expects a curriculum to include learning experiences in the following categories of Assurance of Learning Standards: Written and Oral Communication; Ethical Understanding and Reasoning; Analytical Thinking; Information Technology; Interpersonal Relations and Teamwork, Diverse and Multicultural Work; Reflective Thinking; and Application of Knowledge. Test Bank questions that test skills relevant to these standards are tagged with the appropriate standard. For example, a question testing the moral questions associated with externalities would receive the Ethical Understanding and Reasoning tag.

Acknowledgements

The guidance and recommendations of the following instructors helped us develop the revision plans for the seventh edition and the supplements package. While we could not incorporate every suggestion from every consultant board member, reviewer, or accuracy checker, we do thank each and every one of you and acknowledge that your feedback was indispensable in developing this text. We greatly appreciate your assistance in making this the best text it could be; you have helped a whole new generation of students learn about the exciting world of economics.

Accuracy Review Board

Our accuracy checkers did a particularly painstaking and thorough job of helping us proof the graphs, equations, and features of the text and supplements. We are grateful for their time and commitment:

Fatma Abdel-Raouf, Goldey-Beacom College
Gbenga Ajilore, The University of Toledo
Harry Ellis, University of North Texas
Robert Gillette, University of Kentucky
Anthony Gyapong, Pennsylvania State University–Abington
Randy Methenitis, Richland College
Brian Rosario, University of California–Davis
Edward Scahill, University of Scranton

Reviewers

The guidance and thoughtful recommendations of many instructors helped us develop and implement a revision plan that improved the book's content, enhanced the figures, and strengthened the assessment features. We extend special thanks to Edward Scahill of the University of Scranton for helping us revise the chapter openers and the solutions to the end-of-chapter questions and problems, to Randy Methenitis of Richland College for helping us revise the *An Inside Look* feature in Chapters 1–4, and to Fernando Quijano for creating all the figures in the book and supplements. We are grateful for the comments and many helpful suggestions received from the following reviewers:

Mark Abajian, University of San Diego
Anna Antus, North Hennepin Community College
Ali Arshad, Central New Mexico Community College
David Barrus, Brigham Young University–Idaho
Leon Battista, Quinnipiac University
Susan Bell, Seminole State College of Florida
Bruce Bellner, The Ohio State University
Jennis Biser, Austin Peay State University
Kelly Blanchard, Purdue University
Michaël Bonnal, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Walter Boyle, Fayetteville Technical Community College
Dave Brown, Pennsylvania State University
Regina Cassady, Valencia College
Basanta Chaudhuri, Rutgers University
Mark Cullivan, University of San Diego
Hong Duong, Salisbury University
Edward Durkin, Cuyahoga Community College
Maria Edlin, Middle Tennessee State University
Fatma El-Hamidi, Dietrich School of Arts and Sciences
Irene Foster, The George Washington University
Mark Gius, Quinnipiac University
Brian Goegan, Arizona State University
Timothy Hamilton, Columbia College

Wayne Hickenbottom, University of Texas at Austin
Mike Hilmer, San Diego State University
Mark Isaac, Florida State University
Rus Janis, University of Massachusetts–Amherst
Sarah Jenyk, Youngstown State University
Stephanie Brewer Jozefowicz, Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Shawna Koger, Arlington Public Schools/Metro Community College
Susan Laury, Georgia State University
Jim Lee, Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi
An Li, University of Massachusetts–Amherst
Yan Li, University of Wisconsin–Eau Claire
Svitlana Maksymenko, University of Pittsburgh
David McClough, Ohio Northern University
Scott McGann, Grossmont College
Merve Meral, University of Massachusetts–Dartmouth
Robert Mohr, University of New Hampshire
Mike Munoz, Northwest Vista College
John Nader, Davenport University
John Neri, University of Maryland
Charles Newton, Houston Community College
Eric Nielsen, St. Louis Community College–Meramec
Dan Norgard, Normandale Community College
Nitin Paranjpe, Wayne State University, Oakland University
Azucena Peralta, El Paso Community College
Claudiney Pereira, Arizona State University
Dennis Petruska, Youngstown State University
Ryan Phelps, Stephen F. Austin State University
Cristina Reiser, University of New Mexico
Giacomo Rondina, University of Colorado Boulder
Eric Rothenburg, Kingsborough Community College
Rolando Sanchez, Northwest Vista College
Jonathan Silberman, Oakland University
Richard Slotkin, Pasadena City College
Arjun Sondhi, Wayne State University
Derek Stimel, University of California, Davis
Bedassa Tadesse, University of Minnesota–Duluth
Regina Trevino, University of San Diego
Roger Wehr, University of Texas–Arlington
Elizabeth Wheaton, Southern Methodist University
Daniel Wolman, Nassau Community College
Sourushe Zandvakili, University of Cincinnati

Previous Edition Class Testers, Accuracy Reviewers, and Consultants

The guidance and recommendations of the following instructors helped us shape the previous editions.

Class Testers

We are grateful to both the instructors who class-tested manuscript of the first edition and their students for providing useful recommendations on how to make chapters more interesting, relevant, and accurate:

Charles A. Bennett, Gannon University
Anne E. Bresnock, University of California, Los Angeles, and California State Polytechnic University–Pomona
Linda Childs-Leatherbury, Lincoln University, Pennsylvania
John Eastwood, Northern Arizona University
David Eaton, Murray State University
Paul Elgatian, St. Ambrose University
Patricia A. Freeman, Jackson State University
Robert Godby, University of Wyoming
Frank Gunter, Lehigh University
Ahmed Ispahani, University of La Verne
Brendan Kennelly, Lehigh University and National University of Ireland–Galway
Ernest Massie, Franklin University
Carol McDonough, University of Massachusetts–Lowell
Shah Mehrabi, Montgomery College
Sharon Ryan, University of Missouri–Columbia
Bruce G. Webb, Gordon College
Madelyn Young, Converse College
Susan Zumas, Lehigh University

Accuracy Review Boards

We are grateful to the following accuracy checkers of the previous editions for their hard work on the book and supplements:

Fatma Abdel-Raouf, Goldey-Beacom College
Anne Alexander, University of Wyoming
Clare Battista, California Polytechnic State University
Mohammad Bajwa, Northampton Community College
Cynthia Bansak, St. Lawrence University
Hamid Bastin, Shippensburg University
Doris Bennett, Jacksonville State University
Kelly Hunt Blanchard, Purdue University
Don Bumpass, Sam Houston State University
Charles Callahan III, State University of New York–Brockport
Mark S. Chester, Reading Area Community College
Kenny Christianson, Binghamton University
Ishita Edwards, Oxnard College
Harold Elder, University of Alabama
Harry Ellis, University of North Texas
Can Erbil, Brandeis University
Marc Fusaro, Arkansas Tech University
Sarah Ghosh, University of Scranton
Robert Gillette, University of Kentucky
Maria Giuili, Diablo Valley College
Mark Gius, Quinnipiac University
Robert Godby, University of Wyoming
William L. Goffe, Pennsylvania State University
Edward T. Gullason, formerly, Dowling College
Anthony Gyapong, Pennsylvania State University—Abington
Travis Hayes, University of Tennessee–Chattanooga

Carol Hogan, University of Michigan–Dearborn
Anisul M. Islam, University of Houston–Downtown
Aaron Jackson, Bentley College
Nancy Jianakoplos, Colorado State University
Thomas C. Kinnaman, Bucknell University
Mary K. Knudson, University of Iowa
Faik A. Koray, Louisiana State University
Stephan Kroll, California State University–Sacramento
Tony Lima, California State University–East Bay
Randy Methenitis, Richland College
Normal C. Miller, Miami University
David Mitch, University of Maryland–Baltimore County
James A. Moreno, Blinn College
Michael Potepan, San Francisco State University
Mary L. Pranzo, California State University–Fresno
Fernando Quijano, Dickinson State University
Matthew Rafferty, Quinnipiac University
Ratha Ramoo, Diablo Valley College
Jeff Reynolds, Northern Illinois University
Brian Rosario, University of California, Davis
Joseph M. Santos, South Dakota State University
Edward Scahill, University of Scranton
Mark V. Siegler, California State University–Sacramento
Rachel Small, University of Colorado–Boulder
Stephen Smith, Bakersfield College
Rajeev Sooreea, Pennsylvania State University–Altoona
Rebecca Stein, University of Pennsylvania
Ed Steinberg, New York University
Michael Stone, Quinnipiac University
Arlena Sullivan, Jones County Junior College
Wendine Thompson–Dawson, University of Utah
Julianne Treme, University of North Carolina–Wilmington
Robert Whaples, Wake Forest University

Consultant Boards

We received guidance from a dedicated consultant board during the development of the previous editions at several critical junctures. We relied on the board for input on content, figure treatment, and design:

Kate Antonovics, University of California, San Diego
Robert Beekman, University of Tampa
Valerie Bencivenga, University of Texas–Austin
Kelly Blanchard, Purdue University
Susan Dadres, Southern Methodist University
Harry Ellis, Jr., University of North Texas
Sherman T. Folland, Oakland University
Robert Gillette, University of Kentucky
Robert Godby, University of Wyoming
William L. Goffe, Pennsylvania State University
Jane S. Himarios, University of Texas–Arlington
Donn M. Johnson, Quinnipiac University
Mark Karscig, Central Missouri State University
Jenny Minier, University of Kentucky
David Mitch, University of Maryland–Baltimore County
Nicholas Noble, Miami University
Michael Potepan, San Francisco State University
Matthew Rafferty, Quinnipiac University
Helen Roberts, University of Illinois–Chicago
Robert Rosenman, Washington State University
Joseph M. Santos, South Dakota State University
Stephen Snyder, University of Pittsburgh
Martin C. Spechler, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis
Robert Whaples, Wake Forest University
Jonathan B. Wight, University of Richmond

Reviewers

ALABAMA

William P. Aldridge, University of Alabama
Doris Bennett, Jacksonville State University
Harold W. Elder, University of Alabama–Tuscaloosa
Wanda Hudson, Alabama Southern Community College
Keith D. Malone, University of North Alabama
Edward Merkel, Troy University
James L. Swofford, University of Southern Alabama
Christopher Westley, Jacksonville State University

ARIZONA

Doug Conway, Mesa Community College
John Eastwood, Northern Arizona University
Price Fishback, University of Arizona
Mehul Rangwala, University of Phoenix
Anne Williams, Gateway Community College

ARKANSAS

Jerry Crawford, Arkansas State University
Marc Fusaro, Arkansas Tech University
Randall Kesselring, Arkansas State University
Dan Marburger, Arkansas State University

CALIFORNIA

Shawn Abbott, College of the Siskiyous
Renatte Adler, San Diego State University
Ercument Aksoy, Los Angeles Valley College
Maneeza Aminy, Golden Gate University
Kate Antonovics, University of California, San Diego
Becca Arnold, Mesa College
Asatar Bair, City College of San Francisco
Diana Bajrami, College of Alameda
Robert Bise, Orange Coast Community College
Victor Brajer, California State University–Fullerton
Anne E. Bresnock, University of California, Los Angeles, and California State Polytechnic University–Pomona
David Brownstone, University of California, Irvine
Maureen Burton, California State Polytechnic University–Pomona
Annette Chamberlin, National College
Anoshua Chaudhuri, San Francisco State University
James G. Devine, Loyola Marymount University
Jose Esteban, Palomar College

Roger Frantz, San Diego State University
Craig Gallet, California State University–Sacramento
Andrew Gill, California State University–Fullerton
Maria Giuili, Diablo Valley College
Julie Gonzalez, University of California–Santa Cruz
Lisa Grobar, California State University–Long Beach
Steve Hamilton, California State University–Fullerton
Dewey Heinsma, Mt. San Jacinto Community College
Jessica Howell, California State University–Sacramento
Greg Hunter, California State University–Pomona
John Ifcher, Santa Clara University
Ahmed Ispahani, University of LaVerne
George A. Jouganatos, California State University–Sacramento
Jonathan Kaplan, California State University–Sacramento
Leland Kempe, California State University–Fresno
Philip King, San Francisco State University
Lori Kletzer, University of California, Santa Cruz
Stephan Kroll, California State University–Sacramento
David Lang, California State University–Sacramento
Carsten Lange, California State Polytechnic University–Pomona
Don Leet, California State University–Fresno
Rose LeMont, Modesto Junior College
Tony Lima, California State University–East Bay
Solina Lindahl, California Polytechnic State University–San Luis Obispo
Roger Mack, DeAnza College
Michael Marlow, California Polytechnic State University
Scott McGann, Grossmont College
Kristen Monaco, California State University–Long Beach
W. Douglas Morgan, University of California, Santa Barbara
Nivedita Mukherji, Oakland University
Solomon Namala, Cerritos College
Andrew Narwold, University of San Diego
Fola Odebunmi, Cypress College
Hanna Paulson, West Los Angeles College
Joseph M. Pogodzinksi, San Jose State University
Michael J. Potepan, San Francisco State University
Mary L. Pranzo, California State University–Fresno
Sasha Radisich, Glendale Community College
Ratha Ramoo, Diablo Valley College
Scott J. Sambucci, California State University–East Bay
Ariane Schauer, Marymount College
Frederica Shockley, California State University–Chico
Mark Siegler, California State University–Sacramento
Jonathan Silberman, Oakland University
Lisa Simon, California Polytechnic State University–San Louis Obispo
Richard Lee Slotkin, Pasadena City College
Stephen Smith, Bakersfield College
Rodney B. Swanson, University of California–Los Angeles
Martha Stuffler, Irvine Valley College
Lea Templer, College of the Canyons
Kristin A. Van Gaasbeck, California State University–Sacramento
Va Nee Van Vleck, California State University–Fresno
Michael Visser, Sonoma State University
Steven Yamarik, California State University–Long Beach
Guy Yamashiro, California State University–Long Beach
Kevin Young, Diablo Valley College
Anthony Zambelli, Cuyamaca College

COLORADO

Mohammed Akacem, Metropolitan State College of Denver
Rhonda Corman, University of Northern Colorado
Dale DeBoer, University of Colorado–Colorado Springs
Debbie Evercloud, University of Colorado–Denver
Karen Gebhardt, Colorado State University
Scott Houser, Colorado School of Mines
Murat Iyigun, University of Colorado at Boulder
Nancy Jianakoplos, Colorado State University
Jay Kaplan, University of Colorado–Boulder
William G. Mertens, University of Colorado–Boulder
Rachael Small, University of Colorado–Boulder
Stephen Weiler, Colorado State University

CONNECTICUT

Christopher P. Ball, Quinnipiac University
Mark Gius, Quinnipiac University
Mark Jablonowski, University of Hartford
Donn M. Johnson, Quinnipiac University
Robert Martel, University of Connecticut
Charles Meyrick, Housatonic Community College
Judith Mills, Southern Connecticut State University
Matthew Rafferty, Quinnipiac University
Christian Zimmermann, University of Connecticut

DELAWARE

Fatma Abdel-Raouf, Goldey-Beacom College
Ali Ataiifar, Delaware County Community College
Andrew T. Hill, University of Delaware

FLORIDA

Frank Albritton, Seminole State College
Herman Baine, Broward Community College
Robert L. Beekman, University of Tampa
William Browning, Florida Gulf Coast University
Eric P. Chiang, Florida Atlantic University
Martine Duchatelet, Barry University
Hadley Hartman, Santa Fe Community College
Richard Hawkins, University of West Florida
Brad Kamp, University of South Florida
Brian Kench, University of Tampa
Carrie B. Kerekes, Florida Gulf Coast University
Thomas McCaleb, Florida State University
Barbara A. Moore, University of Central Florida
Augustine Nelson, University of Miami
Jamie Ortiz, Florida Atlantic University
Deborah Paige, Santa Fe Community College
Robert Pennington, University of Central Florida

Bob Potter, University of Central Florida
Jerry Schwartz, Broward Community College–North
William Stronge, Florida Atlantic University
Nora Underwood, University of Central Florida
Zhiguang Wang, Florida International University
Joan Wiggenhorn, Barry University

GEORGIA

Greg Brock, Georgia Southern University
Donna Fisher, Georgia Southern University
Shelby Frost, Georgia State University
John King, Georgia Southern University
Constantin Ogloblin, Georgia Southern University
Dr. Greg Okoro, Georgia Perimeter College–Clarkston
Michael Reksulak, Georgia Southern University
Bill Yang, Georgia Southern University

IDAHO

Cynthia Hill, Idaho State University
Don Holley, Boise State University
Tesa Stegner, Idaho State University

ILLINOIS

Teshome Abebe, Eastern Illinois University
Ali Akarca, University of Illinois–Chicago
Zsolt Becsi, Southern Illinois University–Carbondale
James Bruehler, Eastern Illinois University
Louis Cain, Loyola University and Northwestern University
Rosa Lea Danielson, College of DuPage
Kevin Dunagan, Oakton Community College
Scott Gilbert, Southern Illinois University
Rajeev K. Goel, Illinois State University
David Gordon, Illinois Valley Community College
Alan Grant, Eastern Illinois University
Rik Hafer, Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville
Alice Melkumian, Western Illinois University
Christopher Mushrush, Illinois State University
Jeff Reynolds, Northern Illinois University
Helen Roberts, University of Illinois–Chicago
Thomas R. Sadler, Western Illinois University
Eric Schulz, Northwestern University
Dennis Shannon, Southwestern Illinois College
Charles Sicotte, Rock Valley Community College
Neil T. Skaggs, Illinois State University
Kevin Sylwester, Southern Illinois University–Carbondale
Wendine Thompson-Dawson, Monmouth College
Tara Westerhold, Western Illinois University
Mark Witte, Northwestern University
Laurie Wolff, Southern Illinois University–Carbondale
Paula Worthington, Northwestern University

INDIANA

Kelly Blanchard, Purdue University
Cecil Bohanon, Ball State University
Kirk Doran, University of Notre Dame
Eva Dziadula, University of Notre Dame
Mary Flannery, University of Notre Dame
Thomas Gresik, University of Notre Dame
Robert B. Harris, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis
Fred Herschede, Indiana University–South Bend
Tom Lehman, Indiana Wesleyan University
Abraham Mathew, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis
John Pomery, Purdue University
Curtis Price, University of Southern Indiana
Rob Rude, Ivy Tech Community College
James K. Self, Indiana University–Bloomington
Esther-Mirjam Sent, University of Notre Dame
Virginia Shingleton, Valparaiso University
Martin C. Spechler, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis
Arun K. Srinivasan, Indiana University–Southeast Campus
Geetha Suresh, Purdue University

IOWA

Terry Alexander, Iowa State University
Paul Elgatian, St. Ambrose University
Jennifer Fuhrman, University of Iowa
Ken McCormick, University of Northern Iowa
Andy Schuchart, Iowa Central Community College
John Solow, University of Iowa
Jonathan Warner, Dordt College

KANSAS

Guatam Bhattacharya, University of Kansas
Amanda Freeman, Kansas State University
Dipak Ghosh, Emporia State University
Alan Grant, Baker University
Wayne Oberle, St. Ambrose University
Jodi Messer Pelkowski, Wichita State University
Martin Perline, Wichita State University
Joel Potter, Kansas State University
Joshua Rosenbloom, University of Kansas
Shane Sanders, Kansas State University
Dosse Toulaboe, Fort Hays State University
Bhavneet Walia, Kansas State University

KENTUCKY

Tom Cate, Northern Kentucky University
Nan-Ting Chou, University of Louisville
David Eaton, Murray State University
Ann Eike, University of Kentucky
Robert Gillette, University of Kentucky
Barry Haworth, University of Louisville
Gail Hoyt, University of Kentucky
Donna Ingram, Eastern Kentucky University
Waithaka Iraki, Kentucky State University
Hak Youn Kim, Western Kentucky University
Martin Milkman, Murray State University
Jenny Minier, University of Kentucky
David Shideler, Murray State University
John Vahaly, University of Louisville

LOUISIANA

Lara Gardner, Southeastern Louisiana University
Jay Johnson, Southeastern Louisiana University
Faik Koray, Louisiana State University
Paul Nelson, University of Louisiana–Monroe
Sung Chul No, Southern University and A&M College
Tammy Parker, University of Louisiana–Monroe
Wesley A. Payne, Delgado Community College
Nancy Rumore, University of Louisiana at Lafayette

MARYLAND

Carey Borkoski, Anne Arundel Community College
Kathleen A. Carroll, University of Maryland–Baltimore County
Jill Caviglia-Harris, Salisbury University
Dustin Chambers, Salisbury University
Karl Einolf, Mount Saint Mary's University
Marsha Goldfarb, University of Maryland–Baltimore City
Bruce Madariaga, Montgomery College
Shah Mehrabi, Montgomery College
Gretchen Mester, Anne Arundel Community College
David Mitch, University of Maryland–Baltimore County
John Neri, University of Maryland
Henry Terrell, University of Maryland

MASSACHUSETTS

William L. Casey, Jr., Babson College
Arthur Schiller Casimir, Western New England College
Michael Enz, Western New England College
Can Erbil, Brandeis University
Lou Foglia, Suffolk University
Gerald Friedman, University of Massachusetts
Todd Idson, Boston University
Aaron Jackson, Bentley College
Russell A. Janis, University of Massachusetts–Amherst
Anthony Laramie, Merrimack College
Carol McDonough, University of Massachusetts–Lowell
William O'Brien, Worcester State College
Ahmad Saranjam, Bridgewater State College
Howard Shore, Bentley College
Janet Thomas, Bentley College
John Tommasi, University of Massachusetts–Lowell
Gregory H. Wassall, Northeastern University
Bruce G. Webb, Gordon College
Gilbert Wolpe, Newbury College
Jay Zagorsky, Boston University

MICHIGAN

Eric Beckman, Delta College
Jared Boyd, Henry Ford Community College
Victor Claar, Hope College
Dr. Sonia Dalmia, Grand Valley State University
Daniel Giedeman, Grand Valley State University
Allen C. Goodman, Wayne State University
Steven Hayworth, Eastern Michigan University
Gregg Heidebrink, Washtenaw Community College
Carol Hogan, University of Michigan–Dearborn
Marek Kolar, Delta College
Susan J. Linz, Michigan State University
James Luke, Lansing Community College
Ilir Miteza, University of Michigan–Dearborn
John Nader, Grand Valley State University
Norman P. Obst, Michigan State University
Laudo M. Ogura, Grand Valley State University
Robert J. Rossana, Wayne State University
Michael J. Ryan, Western Michigan University
Charles A. Stull, Kalamazoo College
Michael J. Twomey, University of Michigan–Dearborn
Mark Wheeler, Western Michigan University
Wendy Wysocki, Monroe County Community College

MINNESOTA

Mary Edwards, Saint Cloud State University
Phillip J. Grossman, Saint Cloud State University
Monica Hartman, University of St. Thomas
Matthew Hyle, Winona State University
David J. O'Hara, Metropolitan State University–Minneapolis
Kwang Woo (Ken) Park, Minnesota State University–Mankato
Artatrana Ratha, Saint Cloud State University
Ken Rebeck, Saint Cloud State University
Katherine Schmeiser, University of Minnesota

MISSISSIPPI

Becky Campbell, Mississippi State University
Randall Campbell, Mississippi State University
Patricia A. Freeman, Jackson State University
Arlena Sullivan, Jones County Junior College

MISSOURI

Chris Azevedo, University of Central Missouri
Ariel Belasen, Saint Louis University
Catherine Chambers, University of Central Missouri
Paul Chambers, University of Central Missouri
Kermit Clay, Ozarks Technical Community College
Ben Collier, Northwest Missouri State University
John R. Crooker, University of Central Missouri
Jo Durr, Southwest Missouri State University
Julie H. Gallaway, Southwest Missouri State University
Terrel Gallaway, Southwest Missouri State University
Mark Karscig, Central Missouri State University
Nicholas D. Peppes, Saint Louis Community College–Forest Park
Steven T. Petty, College of the Ozarks
Sharon Ryan, University of Missouri–Columbia
Ben Young, University of Missouri–Kansas City

MONTANA

Agnieszka Bielinska-Kwapisz, Montana State University–Bozeman
Jeff Bookwalter, University of Montana–Missoula

NEBRASKA

John Dogbey, University of Nebraska–Omaha
Ward Hooker, Central Community College

Allan Jenkins, University of Nebraska–Kearney
James Knudsen, Creighton University
Craig MacPhee, University of Nebraska–Lincoln
Kim Sosin, University of Nebraska–Omaha
Mark E. Wohar, University of Nebraska–Omaha

NEVADA

Michael H. Lampert, Truckee Meadows Community College
Bernard Malamud, University of Nevada–Las Vegas
Sheri Perez, College of Southern Nevada
Bill Robinson, University of Nevada–Las Vegas

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Evelyn Gick, Dartmouth College
Neil Niman, University of New Hampshire

NEW JERSEY

Len Anyanwu, Union County College
Maharuk Bhiladwalla, Rutgers University–New Brunswick
Giuliana Campanelli-Andreopoulos, William Paterson University
Gary Gigliotti, Rutgers University–New Brunswick
John Graham, Rutgers University–Newark
Berch Haroian, William Paterson University
Paul Harris, Camden County College
Jeff Rubin, Rutgers University
Henry Ryder, Gloucester County College
Laura Storino, Rowan University
Donna Thompson, Brookdale Community College

NEW MEXICO

Donald Coes, University of New Mexico
Kate Krause, University of New Mexico
Curt Shepherd, University of New Mexico

NEW YORK

Seemi Ahmad, Dutchess Community College
Chris Annala, State University of New York–Geneseo
Erol Balkan, Hamilton College
John Bockino, Suffolk County Community College–Ammerman
Charles Callahan III, State University of New York–Brockport
Michael Carew, Baruch College
Sean Corcoran, New York University
Ranjit S. Dighe, City University of New York–Bronx Community College
Debra Dwyer, Stony Brook University
Glenn Gerstner, Saint John's University–Queens
Susan Glanz, Saint John's University–Queens
Wayne A. Grove, LeMoyne College
Nancy Howe, Hudson Valley Community College
Christopher Inya, Monroe Community College
Ghassan Karam, Pace University
Clifford Kern, State University of New York–Binghamton
Mary Lesser, Iona College
Anna Musatti, Columbia University
Theodore Muzio, St. John's University, New York
Emre Ozsoz, Fashion Institute of Technology
Howard Ross, Baruch College
Ed Steinberg, New York University
Leonie Stone, State University of New York–Geneseo
Ganti Subrahmanyam, University of Buffalo
Jogindar S. Uppal, State University of New York–Albany
Susan Wolcott, Binghamton University

NORTH CAROLINA

Rita Balaban, University of North Carolina
Otilia Boldea, North Carolina State University
Robert Burrus, University of North Carolina–Wilmington
Lee A. Craig, North Carolina State University
Alexander Deshkovski, North Carolina Central University
Kathleen Dorsainvil, Winston–Salem State University
Lydia Gan, School of Business, University of North Carolina–Pembroke
Michael Goode, Central Piedmont Community College
Salih Hakeem, North Carolina Central University
Melissa Hendrickson, North Carolina State University
Haiyong Liu, East Carolina University
Kosmas Marinakis, North Carolina State University
Todd McFall, Wake Forest University
Shahriar Mostashari, Campbell University
Jonathan Phillips, North Carolina State University
Bobby Puryear, North Carolina State University
Jeff Sarbaum, University of North Carolina–Greensboro
Peter Schuhmann, University of North Carolina–Wilmington
Robert Shoffner, Central Piedmont Community College
Catherine Skura, Sandhills Community College
Carol Stivender, University of North Carolina–Charlotte
Vera Tabakova, East Carolina University
Eric Taylor, Central Piedmont Community College
Julianne Treme, University of North Carolina–Wilmington
Hui-Kuan Tseng, University of North Carolina at Charlotte
Robert Whaples, Wake Forest University
John Whitehead, Appalachian State University
Gary W. Zinn, East Carolina University
Rick Zuber, University of North Carolina at Charlotte

OHIO

Olugbenga Ajilore, The University of Toledo
Benjamin Blair, Columbus State University
John P. Blair, Wright State University
Bolong Cao, Ohio University–Athens
Kyongwook Choi, Ohio University
James D'Angelo, University of Cincinnati
Darlene DeVera, Miami University
Rudy Fichtenbaum, Wright State University
Tim Fuerst, Bowling Green University
Harley Gill, Ohio State University
Leroy Gill, Ohio State University
Steven Heubeck, Ohio State University
Daniel Horton, Cleveland State University

Michael Jones, University of Cincinnati
Kristen Keith, University of Toledo
Janice Kinghorn, Miami University
Jean Kujawa, Lourdes College
Ernest Massie, Franklin University
Ida A. Mirzaie, Ohio State University
Jay Mutter, University of Akron
Mike Nelson, University of Akron
Nicholas Noble, Miami University
Dennis C. O'Neill, University of Cincinnati
Joseph Palardy, Youngstown State University
Charles Reichheld, Cuyahoga Community College
Teresa Riley, Youngstown State University
Rochelle Ruffer, Youngstown State University
Kate Sheppard, University of Akron
Richard Stratton, University of Akron
Albert Sumell, Youngstown State University
Steve Szheghi, Wilmington College
Melissa Thomasson, Miami University
Yaqin Wang, Youngstown State University
Bert Wheeler, Cedarville University
Kathryn Wilson, Kent State University
Sourushe Zandvakili, University of Cincinnati

OKLAHOMA

David Hudgins, University of Oklahoma
Bill McLean, Oklahoma State University
Denny Myers, Oklahoma City Community College
Ed Price, Oklahoma State University
Abdulhamid Sukar, Cameron University
Zhen Zhu, University of Central Oklahoma

OREGON

Bill Burrows, Lane Community College
Tom Carroll, Central Oregon Community College
Tim Duy, University of Oregon
Alan S. Fudge, Linn-Benton Community College
B. Starr McMullen, Oregon State University
Ted Scheinman, Mount Hood Community College
Larry Singell, University of Oregon
Ayca Tekin-Koru, Oregon State University

PENNSYLVANIA

Bradley Andrew, Juniata College
Mohammad Bajwa, Northampton Community College
Gustavo Barboza, Mercyhurst College
Charles A. Bennett, Gannon University
Cynthia Benzing, West Chester University
Howard Bodenhorn, Lafayette College
Milica Bookman, St. Joseph's University
Robert Brooker, Gannon University
Eric Brucker, Widener University
Shirley Cassing, University of Pittsburgh
Linda Childs-Leatherbury, Lincoln University
Scott J. Dressler, Villanova University
Satyajit Ghosh, University of Scranton
William L. Goffe, Pennsylvania State University
Anthony Gyapong, Pennsylvania State University–Abington
Mehdi Haririan, Bloomsburg University
Andrew Hill, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia
Steven Husted, University of Pittsburgh
James Jozefowicz, Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Stephanie Jozefowicz, Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Nicholas Karatjas, Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Mary Kelly, Villanova University
Brendan Kennelly, Lehigh University
Thomas C. Kinnaman, Bucknell University
Christopher Magee, Bucknell University
Svitlana Maksymenko, University of Pittsburgh
Katherine McCann, Penn State
Judy McDonald, Lehigh University
Ranganath Murthy, Bucknell University
Hong V. Nguyen, University of Scranton
Cristian Pardo, Saint Joseph's University
Iordanis Petsas, University of Scranton
Denis Raihall, West Chester University
Adam Renhoff, Drexel University
Nicole L. Sadowski, York College of Pennsylvania
Edward Scahill, University of Scranton
Ken Slaysman, York College of Pennsylvania
Rajeev Sooreea, Pennsylvania State University–Altoona
Rebecca Stein, University of Pennsylvania
Sandra Trejos, Clarion University
Peter Zaleski, Villanova University
Ann Zech, Saint Joseph's University
Lei Zhu, West Chester University
Susan Zumas, Lehigh University

RHODE ISLAND

Jongsung Kim, Bryant University
Leonard Lardaro, University of Rhode Island
Nazma Latif-Zaman, Providence College

SOUTH CAROLINA

Calvin Blackwell, College of Charleston
Ward Hooker, Orangeburg–Calhoun Technical College
Woodrow W. Hughes, Jr., Converse College
John McArthur, Wofford College
Victoria Willis-Miller, Piedmont Technical College
Chad Turner, Clemson University
Madelyn Young, Converse College

SOUTH DAKOTA

Joseph M. Santos, South Dakota State University
Jason Zimmerman, South Dakota State University

TENNESSEE

Sindy Abadie, Southwest Tennessee Community College
Charles Baum, Middle Tennessee State University
John Brassel, Southwest Tennessee Community College
Bichaka Fayissa, Middle Tennessee State University
Michael J. Gootzeit, University of Memphis
Travis Hayes, University of Tennessee–Chattanooga
Christopher C. Klein, Middle Tennessee State University

Leila Pratt, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Millicent Sites, Carson-Newman College

TEXAS

Carlos Aguilar, El Paso Community College
Rashid Al-Hmoud, Texas Tech University
William Beaty, Tarleton State University
Klaus Becker, Texas Tech University
Alex Brown, Texas A&M University
Jack A. Bucco, Austin Community College–Northridge and Saint Edward's University
Don Bumpass, Sam Houston State University
Marilyn M. Butler, Sam Houston State University
Mike Cohick, Collin County Community College
Cesar Corredor, Texas A&M University
Steven Craig, University of Houston
Patrick Crowley, Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi
Richard Croxdale, Austin Community College
Susan Dadres, Southern Methodist University
David Davenport, McLennan Community College
Harry Ellis, Jr., University of North Texas
Paul Emberton, Texas State University
Diego Escobari, Texas A&M University
Christi Esquivel, Navarro College
Nicholas Feltovich, University of Houston–Main
Charles Harold Fifield, Baylor University
Jamal G. Husein, Angelo State University
Mark Frank, Sam Houston State University
Alejandro Gelves, Midwestern State University
Edgar Ghossoub, University of Texas–San Antonio
Richard Gosselin, Houston Community College–Central
Sheila Amin Gutierrez de Pineres, University of Texas–Dallas
Tina J. Harvell, Blinn College–Bryan Campus
James W. Henderson, Baylor University
Jane S. Himarios, University of Texas–Arlington
James Holcomb, University of Texas–El Paso
Jamal Husein, Angelo State University
Ansul Islam, University of Houston–Downtown
Karen Johnson, Baylor University
Kathy Kelly, University of Texas–Arlington
Thomas Kemp, Tarrant County College–Northwest
Jim Lee, Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi
Ronnie W. Liggett, University of Texas–Arlington
Akbar Marvasti, University of Houston–Downtown
James Mbata, Houston Community College
Kimberly Mencken, Baylor University
Randy Methenitis, Richland College
Carl Montano, Lamar University
James Moreno, Blinn College
Camille Nelson, Texas A&M University
Michael Nelson, Texas A&M University
Charles Newton, Houston Community College–Southwest College
John Pisciotta, Baylor University
Shofiqur Rahman, University of Texas–El Paso
Sara Saderion, Houston Community College–Southwest College
George E. Samuels, Sam Houston State University
David Schutte, Mountain View College
Ivan Tasic, Texas A&M University
David Torres, University of Texas–El Paso
Ross vanWassenhove, University of Houston
Roger Wehr, University of Texas–Arlington
Jim Wollscheid, Texas A&M University–Kingsville
J. Christopher Wreh, North Central Texas College
David W. Yoskowitz, Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi
Inske Zandvliet, Brookhaven College

UTAH

Chris Fawson, Utah State University
Lowell Glenn, Utah Valley State College
Aric Krause, Westminster College
Arden Pope, Brigham Young University

VERMONT

Nancy Brooks, University of Vermont

VIRGINIA

Lee Badgett, Virginia Military Institute
Lee A. Coppock, University of Virginia
Erik Craft, University of Richmond
Janelle Davenport, Hampton University
Philip Heap, James Madison University
George E. Hoffer, Virginia Commonwealth University
Oleg Korenok, Virginia Commonwealth University
Larry Landrum, Virginia Western Community College
Frances Lea, Germanna Community College
Carrie Meyer, George Mason University
John Min, Northern Virginia Community College
Eugene Bempong Nyantakyi, West Virginia University
James Roberts, Tidewater Community College–Virginia Beach
Robert Rycroft, University of Mary Washington
Araine A. Schauer, Mary Mount College
Sarah Stafford, The College of William & Mary
Bob Subrick, James Madison University
Susanne Toney, Hampton University
Michelle Vachris, Christopher Newport University
James Wetzel, Virginia Commonwealth University
George Zestos, Christopher Newport University

WASHINGTON

Genevieve Briand, Washington State University
Lisa Citron, Cascadia College
Andrew Ewing, University of Washington
Stacey Jones, Seattle University
Dean Peterson, Seattle University
Robert Rosenman, Washington State University

WEST VIRGINIA

Jacqueline Agesa, Marshall University
Richard Agesa, Marshall University
Robin S. McCutcheon, Marshall University College of Business

WISCONSIN

Peng Huang, Ripon College
Marina Karabelas, Milwaukee Area Technical College
Elizabeth Sawyer Kelly, University of Wisconsin–Madison
Pascal Ngoboka, University of Wisconsin–River Falls
Kevin Quinn, St. Norbert College
John R. Stoll, University of Wisconsin–Green Bay

WYOMING

Robert Godby, University of Wyoming

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Leon Battista, American Enterprise Institute
Robert Berman, American University
Michael Bradley, George Washington University
Colleen M. Callahan, American University
Eliane P. Catilina, Graduate School USA
Robert Feinberg, American University
Walter Park, American University
Ralph Sonenshine, American University

INTERNATIONAL

Minh Quang Dao, Carleton University–Ottawa, Canada

A Word of Thanks

Once again, we benefited greatly from the dedication and professionalism of the Pearson Economics team. Portfolio Manager David Alexander's energy and support were indispensable. David helped mold the presentation and provided words of encouragement whenever our energy flagged. Content Editor Lena Buonanno worked tirelessly to ensure that this text was as good as it could be and to coordinate the many moving parts involved in a project of this magnitude. This new edition posed particular challenges, and we remain astonished at the amount of time, energy, and unfailing good humor she brings to this project. As we worked on the first edition, former Director of Key Markets David Theisen provided invaluable insight into how best to structure a principles text. His advice helped shape nearly every chapter. We extend our thanks to Tricia Murphy, our Product Marketing Manager, and Carlie Marvel, our Field Marketer, for their energy and creativity in presenting our book and digital products to both professors and students.

Christine Donovan managed the entire production process and the extensive supplement package that accompanies the book. Editorial Assistant Nicole Nedwidek assisted the team in completing several tasks, including review surveys and summaries, to help produce both the book and media resources.

We received excellent research assistance on previous editions from Dante DeAntonio, Ed Timmons, Matthew Saboe, David Van Der Goes, and Jason Hockenberry. We thank Elena Zeller, Jennifer Brailsford, Ellen Vandevort Wolf, Emily Webster, Mollie Sweet, Jayme Wagner, and Rebecca Barney for their careful proofreading of first- and second-round page proofs. Over all editions of our books, we received helpful feedback and recommendations from Lehigh University faculty colleagues Frank R. Gunter, Thomas J. Hyclak, and Robert J. Thornton.

As instructors, we recognize how important it is for students to view graphs that are clear and accessible. We are fortunate to have Fernando Quijano render all the figures in our books and also our supplements. Market feedback on the figures continues to be positive. We extend our thanks to Fernando not only for collaborating with us and creating the best figures possible but also for his patience with our demanding schedule.

This seventh edition has several media components, which required skilled and patient creators and developers. We extend special thanks to Andy Taylor of Hodja Media for preparing the video clips and to Paul Graf of the University of Indiana–Bloomington for preparing the graph animations. These videos and animations are an important part of our revision.

A good part of the burden of an undertaking on this scale is borne by our families. We appreciate the patience, support, and encouragement of our wives and children.

This page intentionally left blank

1 Economics: Foundations and Models

Why Does Ford Assemble Cars in Both the United States and Mexico?

Until recently, did most U.S. firms operate only within the United States? Although some people believe so, in fact, many U.S. firms have been producing goods abroad for decades. For example, Henry Ford founded the Ford Motor Company in Dearborn, Michigan, in 1903. By the next year, Ford was assembling cars in Ontario, Canada. Ford began assembling cars in Manchester, England, in 1911, and in Mexico in 1925. Clearly, for many decades, Ford has been a multinational corporation, manufacturing and selling its cars around the world. In 2017, though, Ford's non-U.S. operations, particularly those in Mexico, were the subject of political controversy.

Some of the cars Ford assembles in Mexico are sold there, but Ford also exports cars from Mexico to the United States and other countries. In 2017, in an attempt to increase manufacturing employment in the United States, President Donald Trump considered imposing a 35 percent tariff—in effect, a tax—on cars that Ford and other U.S. companies assembled in Mexico for sale in the United States. If the tariff were enacted, U.S. car companies would have to pay the U.S. government an amount equal to 35 percent of the price of these cars at the border. The tariff would increase the prices consumers would pay for these cars and, therefore, reduce their sales. President Trump argued that the tariffs would give U.S. car companies an *economic incentive* to assemble more cars in the United States, which would increase employment in U.S. manufacturing.

U.S. car companies were assembling some cars in Mexico because in a *market system*, firms respond to economic incentives. In this case, the lower wages the companies can pay Mexican workers and the lower prices for auto parts in Mexico reduced Ford's costs by more than $1,000 per car. Typically, technological progress creates economic incentives for firms to change how they produce goods and services. For example, robotics can lead automobile manufacturers to automate some jobs, reducing

Jonathan Ernst/Reuters/Alamy Stock Photo

employment in the industry. Firms also respond to changes in consumer tastes, as when more people become interested in buying electric cars. But sometimes firms respond to incentives from changes in government policy. For instance, in 1994, the governments of Canada, Mexico, and the United States agreed to the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), which made it easier for U.S. firms like Ford to ship products from Mexico to the United States. In 2017, some policymakers in Washington believed that a tariff on imports to the United States from Mexico was needed to reverse the economic incentives in NAFTA.

In this chapter and the remainder of this book, we will see how economics provides us with the tools to analyze how firms, consumers, and workers respond to economic incentives and how government policymakers can attempt to reach their objectives by changing those incentives.

AN INSIDE LOOK on **page 20** discusses how likely it is that significant numbers of manufacturing jobs will return to the United States from overseas.

Sources: Dee-Ann Durbin, "Made in Mexico, Popular on U.S. Highways," Associated Press, February 8, 2017; David Welch and David Merrill, "Why Trump Tariffs on Mexican Cars Probably Won't Stop Job Flight," bloomberg.com, January 4, 2017; and Allan Nevins and Frank Ernest Hill, *Ford: Expansion and Challenge, 1915–1933*, New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1957, Ch. 14.

Chapter Outline & Learning Objectives

Economics in Your Life & Career

Should You Consider a Career in Manufacturing?

In the late 1940s and early 1950s, a third of workers in the United States were employed in manufacturing. Traditionally, many high school graduates viewed working on a manufacturing assembly line as a way to earn a middle-class income. Many college graduates in engineering, accounting, management, and other fields have also found employment in manufacturing. But will manufacturing be a good source of careers in the future? In December 2016, total employment in U.S. manufacturing was 12.3 million. But the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics forecasts that by 2024, this number will decline to 11.4 million. What is the basis for this forecast, and how reliable is it? As you read this chapter, try to answer this question. You can check your answer against the one we provide on **page 19** at the end of this chapter.

In this book, we use economics to answer questions such as the following:

- What determines the prices of goods and services from bottled water to smartphones to automobiles?
- Why have health care costs risen so rapidly?
- Why do firms engage in international trade, and how do government policies, such as tariffs, affect international trade?
- Why does the government control the prices of some goods and services, and what are the effects of those controls?

Economists do not always agree on the answer to every question, and there are lively debates on some issues. Because new economic questions are constantly arising, economists are always developing new methods to analyze them.

All the topics we discuss in this book illustrate a basic fact of life: To attain our goals, we must make choices. We must make choices because we live in a world of **scarcity**, which means that although our wants are *unlimited*, the resources available to fulfill those wants are *limited*. You might want to own a BMW and spend each summer vacationing at five-star European hotels, but unless Bill Gates is a close and generous relative, you probably lack the funds to fulfill these wants. Every day, you make choices as you spend your limited income on the many goods and services available. The finite amount of time you have also limits your ability to attain your goals. If you spend an hour studying for your economics midterm, you have one hour less to study for your history midterm. Firms and the government are in the same situation as you: They must also attain their goals with limited resources. **Economics** is the study of the choices consumers, business managers, and government officials make to attain their goals, given their scarce resources.

Scarcity A situation in which unlimited wants exceed the limited resources available to fulfill those wants.

Economics The study of the choices people make to attain their goals, given their scarce resources.

We begin this chapter by discussing three important economic ideas that we will return to many times in the following chapters: *People are rational, people respond to economic incentives,* and *optimal decisions are made at the margin*. Then, we consider the three fundamental questions that any economy must answer: *What* goods and services will be produced? *How* will the goods and services be produced? and *Who* will receive the goods and services produced? Next, we consider the role of *economic models* in analyzing economic issues. **Economic models** are simplified versions of reality used to analyze real-world economic situations. We will explore why economists use models and how they construct them. Finally, we will discuss the difference between microeconomics and macroeconomics, and we will preview some important economic terms.

Economic model A simplified version of reality used to analyze real-world economic situations.

1.1 Three Key Economic Ideas

LEARNING OBJECTIVE: Explain these three key economic ideas: People are rational, people respond to economic incentives, and optimal decisions are made at the margin.

Whether your goal is to buy a smartphone or find a part-time job, you will interact with other people in *markets*. A **market** is a group of buyers and sellers of a good or service and the institution or arrangement by which they come together to trade. Examples of markets are the markets for smartphones, houses, haircuts, stocks and bonds, and labor. Most of economics involves analyzing how people make choices and interact in markets. Here are the three important ideas about markets that we'll return to frequently:

Market A group of buyers and sellers of a good or service and the institution or arrangement by which they come together to trade.

1. People are rational.
2. People respond to economic incentives.
3. Optimal decisions are made at the margin.

People Are Rational

Economists generally assume that people are rational. This assumption does *not* mean that economists believe everyone knows everything or always makes the "best" decision. It means that economists assume that consumers and firms use all available information as they act to achieve their goals. Rational individuals weigh the benefits and costs of each action, and they choose an action only if the benefits outweigh the costs. For example, if Apple charges a price of $649 for its new iPhone, economists assume that the managers at Apple have estimated that this price will earn the company the most profit. Even though the managers may be wrong—maybe a price of $625 or $675 would be more profitable—economists assume that the managers at Apple have acted rationally, on the basis of the information available to them, in choosing the price of $649. Although not everyone behaves rationally all the time, the assumption of rational behavior is very useful in explaining most of the choices that people make. MyLab Economics Concept Check

People Respond to Economic Incentives

People act from a variety of motives, including envy, compassion, and religious belief. While not ignoring other motives, economists emphasize that consumers and firms consistently respond to *economic incentives*. This point may seem obvious, but it is often overlooked. For example, according to an article in the *Wall Street Journal*, the FBI couldn't understand why banks were not taking steps to improve security in the face of an increase in robberies: "FBI officials suggest that banks place uniformed, armed guards outside their doors and install bullet-resistant plastic, known as a 'bandit barrier,' in front of teller windows." FBI officials were surprised that few banks took their advice. But the article also reported that installing bullet-resistant plastic costs $10,000 to $20,000, and a well-trained security guard receives $50,000 per year in salary and benefits. The average loss in a bank robbery is only about $1,200. The economic incentive to banks is clear: It is less costly to put up with bank robberies than to take additional security measures. FBI agents may be surprised by how banks respond to the threat of robberies—but economists are not.

In each chapter, the *Apply the Concept* feature discusses a news story or another application related to the chapter material. Read this *Apply the Concept* for a discussion of whether people respond to economic incentives even when deciding how much to eat and how much to exercise. MyLab Economics Concept Check

Apply the Concept

MyLab Economics Video

Does Health Insurance Give People an Incentive to Become Obese?

Obesity is a factor in a variety of diseases, including heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and hypertension, making it a significant health problem in the United States. Body mass index (BMI) is a measurement of a person's weight relative to the person's height. According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), an adult with a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or greater is considered *obese*. For example, a 5'6" adult with a BMI of 30 is 40 pounds overweight.

The following two maps show the dramatic increase in obesity between 1994 and 2015. In 1994, in a majority of states, only between 10 percent and 14 percent of the adult population was obese, and in no state was more than 20 percent of the adult population obese. By 2015, in every state, at least 20 percent of the adult population was obese, and in 44 states, at least 25 percent of the adult population was obese.

Many people who suffer from obesity have underlying medical conditions. For these people, obesity is a medical problem that they cannot control. The fact that obesity has increased, though, indicates that for some people, obesity is the result of diet and lifestyle choices. Potential explanations for the increase in obesity include greater intake of high-calorie fast foods, insufficient exercise, and a decline in the physical



(a) Obesity rates in 1994



(b) Obesity rates in 2015

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Prevalence of Self-Reported Obesity among U.S. Adults."

activity associated with many jobs. The CDC recommends that teenagers get a minimum of 60 minutes of aerobic exercise per day, a standard that only 15 percent of high school students meet. In 1960, 50 percent of jobs in the United States required at least moderate physical activity. Today, only 20 percent of jobs do. As a result, a typical worker today who may work at a computer is burning off about 130 *fewer* calories per workday than a worker in the 1960s who was more likely to have worked in a manufacturing plant.

In addition to eating too much and not exercising enough, could having health insurance be a cause of obesity? Obese people tend to suffer more medical problems and so incur higher medical costs. Obese people with health insurance that will reimburse them for only part of their medical bills, or who have no health insurance, must pay some or all of these higher medical bills themselves. People with health insurance that covers most of their medical bills will not suffer as large a monetary cost from being obese. In other words, by reducing some of the costs of obesity, health insurance may give people an economic incentive to gain weight.

At first glance, this argument may seem implausible. Some people suffer from medical conditions that can make physical activity difficult or that can cause weight gain even with moderate eating, so they may become obese, regardless of which type of health insurance they have. The people who are obese because of poor eating habits or lack of exercise probably don't consider health insurance when deciding whether to have a slice of chocolate cake or to watch Netflix instead of going to the gym. But if economists are correct about the importance of economic incentives, then we would expect that if we hold all other personal characteristics—such as age, gender, and income—constant, people with health insurance will be more likely to be overweight than people without health insurance.

Jay Bhattacharya and Kate Bundorf of Stanford University, Noemi Pace of the University of Venice, and Neeraj Sood of the University of Southern California, have analyzed the effects of health insurance on weight. Using a sample that followed nearly 80,000 people from 1989 to 2004, they found that after controlling for factors including age, gender, income, education, and race, people with health insurance were significantly more likely to be overweight than people without health insurance. Having private health insurance increased BMI by 1.3 points. Having public health insurance, such as Medicaid, which is a program under which the government provides health care to low-income people, increased BMI by 2.3 points. These findings suggest that people respond to economic incentives even when making decisions about what they eat and how much they exercise.

Note: The exact formula for the body mass index is BMI $=$ (Weight in pounds/Height in inches^2) $\times$ 703.

Sources: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Prevalence of Self-Reported Obesity among U.S. Adults," www.cdc.gov; Katherine M. Flegal, Margaret D. Carroll, Cynthia L. Ogden, and Lester R. Curtin, "Prevalence and Trends in Obesity among U.S. Adults, 1999–2008," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 303, No. 3, January 20, 2010, pp. 235–241; Jay Bhattacharya, Kate Bundorf, Noemi Pace, and Neeraj Sood, "Does Health Insurance Make You Fat?" in Michael Grossman and Naci H. Mocan, eds., *Economic Aspects of Obesity*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2011; and Tara Parker-Pope, "Less Active at Work, Americans Have Packed on Pounds," *New York Times*, May 25, 2011.

Your Turn: Test your understanding by doing related problems 1.7 and 1.8 on page 23 at the end of this chapter.

MyLab Economics Study Plan

Optimal Decisions Are Made at the Margin

Some decisions are "all or nothing." For instance, when an entrepreneur decides whether to open a new restaurant, she starts the new restaurant or she doesn't. When you decide whether to attend graduate school, you either enroll in graduate school or you don't. But rather than being all or nothing, most decisions in life involve doing a little more or a little less. If you are trying to decrease your spending and increase your saving, the decision is not really between saving all the money you earn or spending it all. Rather, many small choices are involved, such as whether to buy a caffè mocha at Starbucks every day or just once a week.

Economists use the word *marginal* to mean "extra" or "additional." Should you watch another hour of television or spend that hour studying? The *marginal benefit* (*MB*) of watching more television is the additional enjoyment you receive. The *marginal cost* (*MC*) is the reduction in your test score from having studied a little less. Should Apple produce an additional 300,000 iPhones? Firms receive *revenue* from selling goods. Apple's marginal benefit is the additional revenue it receives from selling 300,000 more iPhones. Apple's marginal cost is the additional cost—for wages, parts, and so forth—of producing 300,000 more iPhones. *Economists reason that the optimal decision is to continue any activity up to the point where the marginal benefit equals the marginal cost—that is, to the point where* MB = MC. Often we apply this rule without consciously thinking about it. Usually you will know whether the additional enjoyment from watching a television program is worth the additional cost you pay by not spending that hour studying without giving the decision a lot of thought. In business situations, however, firms often have to make careful calculations to determine, for example, whether the additional revenue received from increasing production is greater or less than the additional cost of the production. Economists refer to analysis that involves comparing marginal benefits and marginal costs as **marginal analysis**.

Marginal analysis Analysis that involves comparing marginal benefits and marginal costs.

In each chapter, you will see the feature *Solved Problem*. This feature will increase your understanding of the material by leading you through the steps of solving an applied economic problem. After reading the problem, test your understanding by doing the related problems that appear at the end of the chapter. You can also complete Solved Problems on www.pearson.com/mylab/economics and receive tutorial help.

MyLab Economics Concept Check

Solved Problem 1.1

MyLab Economics Interactive Animation

The Marginal Benefit and Marginal Cost of Speed Limits

In an opinion column in the *New York Times*, economists Sendhil Mullainathan of Harvard University and Richard Thaler of the University of Chicago noted, "We do not post 10-mile-per-hour speed limits on all highways, even though that would be safer." Why is a 10-mile-per-hour speed limit unlikely to be optimal? How could a state highway department use marginal analysis to decide whether to increase the speed limit on a highway from 55 to 65 miles per hour?

Solving the Problem

Step 1: **Review the chapter material.** This problem is about making decisions, so you may want to review the section "Optimal Decisions Are Made at the Margin," which appears on this page.

Step 2: **Discuss how we can decide what the optimal speed limit is and why it is unlikely to be 10 miles per hour.** The faster people drive, the more likely they are to have accidents because the less time they have to react to problems on the highway. In addition, the faster a car or truck is traveling, the more likely it is that an accident will cause damage to the vehicles involved and injuries to the vehicles' occupants. These are the main costs of increasing the speed limit. These costs will increase with each additional mile per hour the speed limit is increased. In other words, the marginal cost from increasing the speed limit is positive.

Increasing the speed limit has benefits as well. The higher the speed limit, the faster people and freight will reach their destinations. These benefits will increase with each additional mile per hour the speed limit is increased, so the marginal benefit from increasing the speed limit is positive. The optimal speed limit will be the one where the marginal cost of decreased safety equals the marginal benefit of faster travel. We know that we have reached the optimal speed limit when increasing the limit further would result in marginal cost being greater than marginal benefit.

A 10-mile-per-hour speed limit would result in very long travel times. So, we can reasonably conclude that a 10-mile-per-hour speed limit isn't optimal because the marginal benefit from increasing it is likely to be much greater than the marginal cost.

Step 3: **Explain how a state highway department could use marginal analysis to decide whether to increase the speed limit on a highway from 55 to 65 miles per hour.** Increasing the speed limit by 10 miles per hour will reduce travel times for people and freight—so there will be a marginal benefit—but will likely also increase the number of accidents and the damage from those accidents. The state highway department should try to estimate the dollar values of the marginal cost and marginal benefit of making the change. If the marginal benefit is greater than the marginal cost, the speed limit should be increased. Although it can be difficult to assign dollar values to the marginal benefit and marginal cost of an action, marginal analysis captures the steps you can follow to make optimal decisions in many situations.

Extra Credit: Suppose that the highway department calculates that increasing the speed limit will result in reduced travel time valued at $100 million. This information would not be enough to decide that the speed limit should be raised because it represents only the marginal benefit from the higher speed limit. If the dollar value of more severe accidents from greater speed turns out to be $125 million, then the marginal cost of increasing the speed limit would be greater than the marginal benefit, and the speed limit should not be raised. Marginal benefit and marginal cost both have to be considered in arriving at an optimal decision.

Source: Sendhil Mullainathan and Richard Thaler, "Waiting in Line for the Illusion of Security," *New York Times*, May 27, 2016.

MyLab Economics Study Plan

Your Turn: For more practice, do related problems 1.9 and 1.10 on page 23 at the end of this chapter.

1.2 The Economic Problem That Every Society Must Solve

LEARNING OBJECTIVE: Discuss how an economy answers these questions: What goods and services will be produced? How will the goods and services be produced? Who will receive the goods and services produced?

Because we live in a world of scarcity, any society faces the *economic problem* that it has only a limited amount of economic resources—such as workers, machines, and raw materials—and so can produce only a limited amount of goods and services. Therefore,

every society faces **trade-offs**: Producing more of one good or service means producing less of another good or service. The best measure of the cost of producing a good or service is the value of what has to be given up to produce it. The **opportunity cost** of any activity—such as producing a good or service—is the highest-valued alternative that must be given up to engage in that activity. The concept of opportunity cost is very important in economics and applies to individuals, firms, and society as a whole. For instance, suppose that you earn a salary of $100,000 per year working as a manager for Ford. You decide to leave your job and open your own management consulting firm. In this case, the opportunity cost of the labor you supply to your own firm is the $100,000 you give up by not working for Ford, *even if you do not explicitly pay yourself a salary*. As in this example, opportunity costs often do not involve actual payments of money.

Trade-off The idea that, because of scarcity, producing more of one good or service means producing less of another good or service.

Opportunity cost The highest-valued alternative that must be given up to engage in an activity.

Trade-offs force society to make choices when answering three fundamental questions:

1. *What* goods and services will be produced?
2. *How* will the goods and services be produced?
3. *Who* will receive the goods and services produced?

Throughout this book, we will return to these questions many times. For now, we briefly introduce each question.

What Goods and Services Will Be Produced?

How will society decide whether to produce more economics textbooks or more smartphones? More daycare facilities or more football stadiums? Of course, "society" doesn't make decisions; only individuals make decisions. The answer to the question of what will be produced is determined by the choices that consumers and people working for firms or the government make. Every day, you help decide which goods and services firms will produce when you choose to buy an iPhone instead of a Samsung Galaxy or a caffè mocha rather than a chai tea. Similarly, managers at Apple must choose whether to devote the company's scarce resources to making more iPhones or more smartwatches. Members of Congress and the president must choose whether to spend more of the federal government's limited budget on breast cancer research or on repairing highways. In each case, consumers, managers of firms, and government policymakers face the problem of scarcity by trading off one good or service for another. And each choice made comes with an opportunity cost, measured by the value of the best alternative given up. MyLab Economics Concept Check

How Will the Goods and Services Be Produced?

Firms choose how to produce the goods and services they sell. In many cases, firms face a trade-off between using more workers and using more machines. For example, a local service station has to choose whether to provide car repair services using more diagnostic computers and fewer auto mechanics or fewer diagnostic computers and more auto mechanics. Similarly, movie studios have to choose whether to produce animated films using highly skilled animators to draw them by hand or fewer animators and more computers. In deciding whether to move production offshore to China, firms may need to choose between a production method in the United States that uses fewer workers and more machines and a production method in China that uses more workers and fewer machines. MyLab Economics Concept Check

Who Will Receive the Goods and Services Produced?

In the United States, who receives the goods and services produced depends largely on how income is distributed. The higher a person's income, the more goods and services he or she can buy. Often, people are willing to give up some of their income—and, therefore, some of their ability to purchase goods and services—by donating to charities to increase the incomes of poorer people. Americans donate more than $370 billion per year to charity, or an average donation of about $2,900 for each household in the country. An important policy question, however, is whether the